arXiv:1509.00682v1 [math.NT] 2 Sep 2015

KATO'S EULER SYSTEM AND THE MAZUR-TATE REFINED CONJECTURE OF BSD TYPE

KAZUTO OTA

ABSTRACT. Mazur and Tate proposed a conjecture which compares the Mordell-Weil rank of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with the order of vanishing of Mazur-Tate elements, which are analogues of Stickelberger elements. Under some relatively mild assumptions, we prove this conjecture. Our strategy of the proof is to study divisibility of certain derivatives of Kato's Euler system.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Mazur-Tate elements 4
3. Darmon-Kolyvagin derivatives and Euler systems 6
4. Divisibility of Euler systems for elliptic curves 15
5. Local study of Mazur-Tate elements 28
6. Proof of the main result 36
7. Trivial zeros 39

References 40

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The Mazur-Tate refined conjecture of BSD type.** Mazur-Tate [19] proposed a *refined conjecture of BSD type*, which predicts mysterious relations between arithmetic invariants of an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ and Mazur-Tate elements constructed from modular symbols. A Mazur-Tate element is an analogue of Stickelberger element and refines the p-adic L-function of E. As the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, the Mazur-Tate refined conjecture of BSD type consists of two parts. One compares the Mordell-Weil rank with the "order of vanishing" of Mazur-Tate elements (the rank-part). The other describes the "leading coefficients" of the elements. The aim of this paper is to prove the rank-part under some mild assumptions. Here, we explain this part more precisely (see Section 2 for the other part).

For a positive integer S, we put $G_S = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)/\mathbb{Q})$. The *Mazur-Tate element* θ_S is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[G_S]$ such that for every character χ of G_S, the evaluation $\chi(\theta_S)$ equals the algebraic part of $L(E, \chi^{-1}, 1)$ up to an explicit factor. It is important that the denominators of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G40; Secondary 11G05, 11R34.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic curves, Mazur-Tate elements, Kato's Euler systems.

θ_S are bounded as S varies, which implies the existence of non-trivial congruences between these special values as χ varies. If E is a strong Weil curve, then $\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}[1/tc(E)][G_S]$, where $t := |E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}|$, and $c(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the Manin constant, which is conjectured to be 1 in this case.

Let R be a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\theta_S \in R[G_S]$ for all $S > 0$. We denote by I_S the augmentation ideal of $R[G_S]$ and by $\mathrm{sp}(S)$ the number of split multiplicative primes of E dividing S. We put $r_E = \mathrm{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q}))$. The following is the rank-part of the Mazur-Tate refined conjecture of BSD type.

CONJECTURE 1.1 (Mazur-Tate). *The order of vanishing of θ_S at the trivial character is greater than or equal to $r_E + \mathrm{sp}(S)$, that is,*

$$\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E+\mathrm{sp}(S)}.$$

1.2. **The main result.** We suppose that E does not have complex multiplication, and we denote by N the conductor. Let R be a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ in which all the primes p satisfying at least one of the following conditions are invertible:

(i) p divides $6N \cdot |E(\mathbb{F}_p)| \prod_{\ell | N}[E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)]$, where for a prime ℓ, we denote by $E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ the group of points in $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ whose reduction is a non-singular point of $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$,

(ii) the Galois representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ attached to the p-adic Tate module is *not* surjective,

(iii) $p < r_E$.

The following is our main result.

THEOREM 1.2 (Theorem 6.1). *Let S be a square-free product of good primes ℓ such that for each prime p not invertible in R, the module $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic, that is, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ or 0. Then, $\theta_S \in R[G_S]$, and Conjecture 1.1 holds, that is,*

$$\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E}.$$

REMARK 1.3. (1) The density (if it exists) of primes ℓ that satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.2 is greater than 0.99 (see Remark 6.2 for the detail). We also note that each good supersingular prime ℓ of E satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.2.

(2) We mention known results on Conjecture 1.1. When p is a good ordinary prime, Kato's result ([11]) on the p-adic BSD conjecture proves that $\theta_{p^n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_{p^n}^{r_E}$ for $n \geq 0$. Kurihara's result in [15] implies that $\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_S^{r_E}$ where S does not need to be a power of p (cf. [15, Remark 2] and [19, Proposition 3]). However he was assuming the $\mu = 0$ conjecture. For a supersingular prime p, in their unpublished work, Emerton, Pollack and Weston seem to have proved a similar assertion at least when S is a power of p. Tan [29] proved Conjecture 1.1 for many S without extending the scalar to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. However, he was assuming the *full* BSD conjecture not only over $\mathbb{Q}$ but also over cyclic extensions K of $\mathbb{Q}$ inside $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)$. Note that Theorem 1.2 does not require the validity of any conjecture.

(3) It may happen that θ_S has an extra zero, that is, $\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E+\mathrm{sp}(S)+1}$ (see Remark 2.5 and Theorem 7.1).

(4) By Serre [26], there are only finitely many primes satisfying (ii). By [18, Lemma 8.18], if $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has a non-trivial torsion point then there are at most three good primes p dividing $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$.

(5) The assertion that $\theta_S \in R[G_S]$ is due to [28, §3] and (ii).

By [5, Theorem 2] and [26, Théorèm $4'$], we have the following.

COROLLARY 1.4. *We assume that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has a non-trivial torsion point. We put*

$$d = \max\left\{ r_E,\ \frac{4\sqrt{6}}{3} N \prod_{\ell | N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \right\} \quad \textit{and} \quad R = \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}; p \textit{ is a prime less than } d].$$

Then, for every square-free product S of good supersingular primes, $\theta_S \in R[G_S]$ and

$$\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E}.$$

In this paper, we also give a partial evidence (Theorem 6.4) of the part of the Mazur-Tate refined conjecture which relates arithmetic invariants such as the Tate-Shafarevich group Ш to the *leading coefficient* of θ_S defined as the image $\tilde{\theta}_S$ of θ_S in $I_S^{r_E}/I_S^{r_E+1}$. The following is a special case of Theorem 6.4.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let p be a prime not invertible in R and S a square-free product of good primes ℓ such that $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod p$ and $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic. If $\tilde{\theta}_S \not\equiv 0 \bmod p(I_S^{r_E}/I_S^{r_E+1})$, then*

$$\text{Ш}[p] = 0 \ \textit{ and } \ p \nmid J_S,$$

where J_S is the order of the cokernel of the map $E(\mathbb{Q}) \to \big(\oplus_{\ell | S} E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)\big) \oplus \big(\oplus_{\ell | N} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\big)$.

1.3. **The plan of proof.** We briefly explain how to prove Theorem 1.2. By a group ring theoretic argument (Lemma 5.4), we are reduced to proving that

$$\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_R I_S^{r_E} \quad \text{for all primes } p \text{ not invertible in } R. \tag{1.1}$$

Let p be a such prime and r_{p^∞} the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank of the (discrete) Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])$.

Our strategy of the proof of (1.1) is to show that *Darmon-Kolyvagin derivatives* of Kato's Euler system are divisible by a power of p. In order to investigate the *divisibility*, we modify an argument of Darmon [6], who proposed a refined conjecture for Heegner points and proved an analogue of Conjecture 1.1 in many cases (see [16] for a generalization to Heegner cycles). Next, by modifying ideas of Kurihara [14], Kobayashi [13] and Otsuki [23], we relate Kato's Euler system with Mazur-Tate elements. Then, the derivatives of Kato's Euler system appear in the coefficients of the *Taylor expansion* of θ_S. By the divisibility of the derivatives and a group-ring theoretic argument, we show that θ_S belongs to a power of the augmentation ideal. However, our modification of Darmon's argument implies only that

$$\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_R I_S^{\min\{r_{p^\infty}-1, p\}}. \tag{1.2}$$

One might expect that Darmon's argument implies that $\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_R I_S^{\min\{r_{p^\infty}, p\}}$. The obstruction is the difference between the local condition at p of Heegner points and that of Kato's Euler system. The localization of Heegner points at p obviously comes from local rational points (i.e. it is crystalline at p), and then Heegner points are related to the usual Selmer group. However, the localization of Kato's Euler system does not necessarily come from a local rational point, and then we can relate Kato's Euler system only with the strict Selmer group $H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])$, whose local condition at p is zero. Since the corank of $H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])$ is not necessarily greater than $r_{p^\infty} - 1$, we have only (1.2).

Our idea for deducing (1.1) from (1.2) is to apply the p-parity conjecture, which is now a theorem (cf. [8], [12], [22]). It asserts that $r_{p^\infty} \equiv \mathrm{ord}_{s=1}(L(E,s)) \bmod 2$. On the other hand, the functional equation of θ_S implies that if $\theta_S \in (\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_S^b) \setminus (\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_S^{b+1})$ for some $b > 0$ then $b \equiv \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L(E,s) \bmod 2$. Combining these congruences with (1.2), we deduce (1.1).

REMARK 1.6. Divisibility of derivatives of Euler systems plays an important role in the proof of not only Theorem 1.2 but also other theorems. By investigating such divisibility, in this paper, we also show Theorems 1.5 and 4.20. The latter theorem gives a construction of $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points of E (modulo p) from certain indivisibility of Euler systems.

Notation. Throughout this paper, let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor N without complex multiplication. We put $r_E = \mathrm{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q}))$ and $m_\ell = [E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)]$.

For an abelian group M and an integer n, we write $M/n = M/nM$. We denote by M_{tors} the maximal torsion subgroup of M.

For a field K, we denote by G_K the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, where $\overline{K}$ is a separable closure of K. We fix embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for every prime p. For an integer S, we put $\zeta_S = \exp(2\pi i/S)$ and $G_S = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_S)/\mathbb{Q})$.

Acknowledgements. This paper is based on the author's thesis. He would like to express his sincere gratitude to his advisor Professor Shinichi Kobayashi for his insightful advice and discussion. Part of this work was completed while the author was visiting l'Institute de Mathémetiques de Jussieu with a support by the JSPS Strategic Young Researcher Overseas Visits Program for Accelerating Brain Circulation. He is deeply grateful to Professor Jan Nekovář for his hospitality. Thanks are due to Professor Masato Kurihara for informing the author of his work related to the Mazur-Tate refined conjecture. The author would like to thank Matteo Longo for discussion, and Chan-Ho Kim for showing his note of talks by Robert Pollack. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows 12J04338.

2. MAZUR-TATE ELEMENTS

In this section, we recall the definition of Mazur-Tate elements, and we briefly review the Mazur-Tate refined conjecture of BSD type in a simple case.

We fix a global minimal Weierstrass model of E over $\mathbb{Z}$ and the Néron differential ω. Then, we have a natural map from the first homology group $H_1(E(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Z})$ to $\mathbb{C}$

$$H_1(E(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C};\quad \gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega.$$

We denote by Λ the image of this map. Let $\Omega^+, -i\Omega^- > 0$ be the largest numbers such that

$$\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\Omega^+ \oplus \mathbb{Z}\Omega^-.$$

By [31], [30] and [4], let $f(z) = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n \exp(2\pi i n z)$ be the newform corresponding to E. Let $L(E,s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$ be the Hasse-Weil L-function of E. For a Dirichlet character χ, we put $L(E,\chi,s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \chi(n) a_n n^{-s}$. For integers a and S with $S>0$, we define $[a/S]_E^{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty f\left(\frac{a}{S} + it\right) dt = \left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^+ \Omega^+ + \left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^- \Omega^-.$$

The Manin-Drinfeld theorem ([9], [17]) implies that $[a/S]_E^{\pm} \in \mathbb{Q}$. In the terminology of [20],

$$\lambda(f,1;-a,S) = \left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^+ \Omega^+ + \left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^- \Omega^-. \tag{2.1}$$

DEFINITION 2.1. For a positive integer S, we define an element θ_S of $\mathbb{Q}[G_S]$ by

$$\theta_S = \sum_{a\in(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^+ + \left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^- \right) \delta_a \in \mathbb{Q}[G_S],$$

where $\delta_a \in G_S$ is the element satisfying $\delta_a \zeta_S = \zeta_S^a$. We call θ_S the *Mazur-Tate element*.

REMARK 2.2. Our θ_S slightly differs from the original Mazur-Tate element, which is called the *modular element* in [19]. The image of $\frac{1}{2}\theta_S$ in $\mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)^+/\mathbb{Q})]$ coincides with their modular element, where $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)^+$ is the maximal totally real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)$.

For $n|m$, we denote by $\pi_{m/n}$ the map $\mathbb{Q}[G_m] \to \mathbb{Q}[G_n]$ induced by the natural surjection $G_m \to G_n$. For a character χ of G_S, we put

$$\tau_S(\chi) = \sum_{\gamma\in G_S} \chi(\gamma)\zeta_S^\gamma.$$

In this paper, square-free integers S are of particular interests. By (2.1) and [20, Chapter 1, §4 and §8], we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.3. (1) *Let S be a positive integer and ℓ a prime not dividing S. Then,*

$$\pi_{S\ell/S}\theta_{S\ell} = -\mathrm{Fr}_\ell(1 - a_\ell \mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1} + \epsilon(\ell)\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-2})\theta_S,$$

where ϵ is the trivial Dirichlet character modulo N, and Fr_ℓ denotes the arithmetic Frobenius of ℓ.

(2) *For a character χ of G_S with conductor S, we have*

$$\chi(\theta_S) = \tau_S(\chi)\frac{L(E,\chi^{-1},1)}{\Omega^{\chi(-1)}}.$$

We briefly review the Mazur-Tate refined conjecture of BSD type. Let S be a square-free positive integer and R a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $|E(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}| \in R^\times$ and $\theta_S \in R[G_S]$. For simplicity, we assume that S is relatively prime to N. If $\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E}$, then we denote by $\tilde{\theta}_S$ the image of $\frac{1}{2}\theta_S$ in $I_{S^+}^{r_E}/I_{S^+}^{r_E+1}$, where I_{S^+} denotes the augmentation ideal of $R[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)^+/\mathbb{Q})]$. We note that our $\tilde{\theta}_S$ coincides with the leading coefficient considered in [19] (cf. Remark 2.2). For each positive divisor T of S, we denote by J_T the order of the cokernel of the natural map

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) \to \left(\oplus_{\ell | T} E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)\right) \oplus \left(\oplus_{\ell | N} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right).$$

CONJECTURE 2.4 (Mazur-Tate). *Let S be a square-free positive integer relatively prime to N. Then $\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E}$, the Tate-Shafarevich group Ш of E over $\mathbb{Q}$ is finite and*

$$\tilde{\theta}_S = |\text{Ш}| \cdot \sum_{T | S > 0} (-1)^{\nu(T)} J_T \cdot \eta_{r_E}\left(\mu_{S,T}(d_T)\right) \in I_{S^+}^{r_E}/I_{S^+}^{r_E+1},$$

where $\nu(T)$ denotes the number of primes dividing T. See [19, (2.5), (2.6), (3.1)] *for $d_T, \mu_{S,T}$ and η_{r_E}.*

REMARK 2.5. (1) It may happen that $\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E+1}$. We give some cases where it happens.

- (a) It is known that if $|G_S| \in R^\times$ then $I_S = I_S^2 = I_S^3 = \cdots$.
- (b) Let ℓ be a prime with $a_\ell = 2$. Even if $r_E = 0$, Proposition 2.3 (1) implies that $\theta_\ell \in I_\ell$. For example, if E is defined by the equation $y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 2x + 1$, then $r_E = 0$, and the primes $\ell \leq 100000$ satisfying $a_\ell = 2$ are $\ell =$ 2, 3, 5, 251, 983, 1009, 1051, 1669, 8219, 9397, 10477, 11789, 14461, 21773, 24019, 32117, 51239, 57737, 93199, 95747, 97859, 98711. The calculation is due to Sage [27].

It may also happen that the element $\eta_{r_E}(\mu_{S,T}(d_T)) \in I_{S^+}^{r_E}/I_{S^+}^{r_E+1}$ is trivial. Bertolini-Darmon [2] constructed a certain lift of $\eta_{r_E}(\mu_{S,T}(d_T))$ to $I_{S^+}^{r_E}$, which gives extra information in this case.

(2) See [19, Conjecture 4] for more general cases. Although Conjecture 2.4 might look different from [19, Conjecture 4], it is not difficult to check that they are equivalent.

3. DARMON-KOLYVAGIN DERIVATIVES AND EULER SYSTEMS

In this section, we fix notation on derivatives and Euler systems, and recall their properties.

We fix a prime $p \geq 5$. For an integer S, we denote by $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ the maximal p-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ inside $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_S)$ and put $\Gamma_S = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(S)/\mathbb{Q})$. For relatively prime integers m and n, by the canonical decomposition $\Gamma_{mn} = \Gamma_m \times \Gamma_n$, we regard Γ_m and Γ_n as subgroups of Γ_{mn}

3.1. **Darmon-Kolyvagin derivatives.**

Following [6], we introduce derivatives which we call Darmon-Kolyvagin derivatives as in [16].

As usual, for integers $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, we put

$$\binom{j}{k} = \frac{j(j-1)\cdots(j-k+1)}{k!}.$$

We put $\binom{j}{0} = 1$ for $j \geq 0$. For an element $\sigma \in \Gamma_S$ of order n and for an integer $k \geq 0$, we define

$$D_\sigma^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{j}{k} \sigma^j \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_S].$$

We note that $D_\sigma^{(k)} = 0$ if $k \geq n$. For $k < 0$, we define $D_\sigma^k = 0$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $\sigma \in \Gamma_S$ is of order n and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then*

$$(\sigma - 1)D_\sigma^{(k)} = \binom{n}{k} - \sigma D_\sigma^{(k-1)}.$$

In particular, if n is a power q of p and $0 < k < p$, then we have

$$(\sigma - 1)D_\sigma^{(k)} \equiv -\sigma D_\sigma^{(k-1)} \mod q.$$

PROOF. This is proved by a straightforward computation. For the second assertion, note that $\binom{q}{k} \equiv 0 \bmod q$ when $0 < k < p$. □

DEFINITION 3.2. In the following, we fix a generator σ_ℓ of Γ_ℓ for each prime ℓ, and write $D_\ell^{(k)} = D_{\sigma_\ell}^{(k)}$. Let $S > 0$ be a square-free integer. We call an element D of $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_S]$ a *Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative*, or simply, a derivative if D is of the following form:

$$D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1)} \cdots D_{\ell_s}^{(k_s)} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_s}] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_S],$$

where $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s$ are distinct primes dividing S, and each k_i is an integer such that $0 \leq k_i < |\Gamma_{\ell_i}|$. We note that $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s, k_1, \dots, k_s$ are uniquely determined. We define

$$\mathrm{Supp}(D) = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_s, \qquad \mathrm{Cond}(D) = \prod_{k_i > 0} \ell_i,$$

which we call the *support* and the *conductor* of D, respectively. We put

$$\mathrm{ord}(D) = k_1 + \cdots + k_s, \quad n(D) = \min_{k_i > 0}\{|\Gamma_{\ell_i}|\}, \quad e_{\ell_i}(D) = k_i.$$

We call $\mathrm{ord}(D)$ the *order* of D. Since Γ_ℓ is a p-group for each prime ℓ, the natural number $n(D)$ is a power of p. When $k_i = 0$ for all i, we define $n(D) = 1$. When $S = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_s$, we define the norm operator as

$$N_S = D_{\ell_1}^{(0)} \cdots D_{\ell_s}^{(0)}.$$

Let S be a square-free positive integer and M a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S]$-module without p-torsion. We take an element $a \in M$, and put

$$\theta = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_S} \gamma a \otimes \gamma \in M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S].$$

The element θ has a *Taylor expansion* as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $S = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_s$ be the prime factorization of S. Then, we have*

$$\theta = \sum_{\underline{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_s)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s}} D_{\underline{k}} a \otimes (\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)^{k_1} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s},$$

where $D_{\underline{k}} := D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1)} \cdots D_{\ell_s}^{(k_s)}$ for $\underline{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_s)$.

REMARK 3.4. We note that $D_{\underline{k}} = 0$ for all but finitely many $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s}$

PROOF. We prove the proposition by induction on the number of primes dividing S,
We first assume that S is a prime ℓ and put $\sigma = \sigma_\ell$. Since Γ_ℓ is generated by σ, we have

$$\theta = \sum_{j=0}^{|\Gamma_\ell|-1} \sigma^j a \otimes \sigma^j.$$

For each j, we note that

$$\sigma^j = (\sigma - 1 + 1)^j = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \binom{j}{k} (\sigma - 1)^k = \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{j}{k} (\sigma - 1)^k.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{|\Gamma_\ell|-1} \sigma^j a \otimes \sigma^j &= \sum_{j=0}^{|\Gamma_\ell|-1} \sigma^j a \otimes \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{j}{k} (\sigma - 1)^k = \sum_{j=0}^{|\Gamma_\ell|-1} \sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{j}{k} \sigma^j a \otimes (\sigma - 1)^k \\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^{|\Gamma_\ell|-1} \binom{j}{k} \sigma^j a \otimes (\sigma - 1)^k = \sum_{k\geq 0} D_\ell^{(k)} a \otimes (\sigma - 1)^k.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we complete the case where S is a prime.

In the general case, we put $T = S/\ell_1$. Then, we have

$$\theta = \sum_{\gamma_1\in\Gamma_{\ell_1}} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_T} \gamma_1 \gamma a \otimes \gamma\gamma_1.$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_T} \gamma a \otimes \gamma = \sum_{\underline{k}'=(k_2,\ldots,k_s)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s-1}} D_{\underline{k}'} a \otimes (\sigma_{\ell_2} - 1)^{k_2} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{\ell_1}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_T} \gamma_1 \gamma a \otimes \gamma\gamma_1 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{\ell_1}} \sum_{\underline{k}' = (k_2, \dots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s-1}} \gamma_1 D_{\underline{k}'} a \otimes (\sigma_{\ell_2} - 1)^{k_2} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s} \gamma_1 \\ &= \sum_{\underline{k}'} D_{\underline{k}'} \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{\ell_1}} \gamma_1 a \otimes \gamma_1 (\sigma_{\ell_2} - 1)^{k_2} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s} \\ &\overset{(*)}{=} \sum_{\underline{k}'} D_{\underline{k}'} \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1)} a \otimes (\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)^{k_1} (\sigma_{\ell_2} - 1)^{k_2} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s}} D_{\underline{k}} a \otimes (\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)^{k_1} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s},\end{aligned}$$

where the equality $(*)$ also follows from the induction hypothesis. $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. *Let G be a finite abelian p-group and σ an element of G with order q. Then,*

$$q(\sigma - 1) \in I_G^p,$$

where I_G denotes the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$.

PROOF. This is [6, Lemma 3.5]. $\square$

Combining Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, we have the following.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $t \geq 1$. Assume that for all Darmon-Kolyvagin derivatives D such that $\operatorname{Supp}(D) = S$ and $\operatorname{ord}(D) < \min\{t, p\}$, we have $Da \equiv 0 \mod n(D)$. Then,*

$$\theta - N_S a \otimes 1 \in M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} I_{\Gamma_S}^{\min\{t,p\}}.$$

REMARK 3.7. This is [6, Lemma 3.8]. It seems that there is an error in the statement of [6, Lemma 3.8]. However, the error is not crucial when we consider Euler systems.

PROOF. As in Proposition 3.3, we write

$$\theta = \sum_{\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s}} D_{\underline{k}} a \otimes (\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)^{k_1} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s}. \tag{3.1}$$

We pick an element $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s} \backslash \{0, \dots, 0\}$ such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_s < \min\{t, p\}$, that is, $0 < \operatorname{ord}(D_{\underline{k}}) < \min\{t, p\}$. By the definition of $n(D_{\underline{k}})$, there exists i such that $|\Gamma_{\ell_i}| = n(D_{\underline{k}})$ and $k_i > 0$. Since $D_{\underline{k}} a \equiv 0 \bmod n(D_{\underline{k}})$, Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$D_{\underline{k}} a \otimes (\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)^{k_1} \cdots (\sigma_{\ell_s} - 1)^{k_s} \in M \otimes I_{\Gamma_S}^p. \tag{3.2}$$

This holds for each $D_{\underline{k}}$ such that $0 < \operatorname{ord}(D_{\underline{k}}) < \min\{t, p\}$. By (3.1), we complete the proof. $\square$

3.2. **Preliminaries on Galois cohomology.** We denote by T the p-adic Tate module $T_p(E)$ of E. In the rest of Section 3, we assume that the Galois representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T)$ is surjective. Then by [25, Proposition 3.5.8 (ii)], there exists an element $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ such that

$$T/(\tau-1)T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{3.3}$$

PROPOSITION 3.8. *For a power q of p and a finite abelian extension F of $\mathbb{Q}$, we have $E(F)[q] = 0$. Moreover, the restriction map induces an isomorphism*

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \cong H^0\left(F/\mathbb{Q}, H^1(F, E[q])\right).$$

PROOF. For the first assertion, we only need to show that $E(F)[p] = 0$. We assume that $E(F)[p] \neq 0$, and take a non-trivial point $P \in E(F)[p]$. Since the Galois representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(E[p])$ is surjective, for each non-trivial point $Q \in E[p]$ there exists an element $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\sigma P = Q$. Since the extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension, we have $Q \in E(F)[p]$. Thus, $\mathbb{Q}(E[p]) \subseteq F$, which implies that $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[q])/\mathbb{Q})$ is abelian. However, since $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is not abelian, we have a contradiction. Then, we show that $E(F)[q] = 0$. The second assertion follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(F/\mathbb{Q}, E(F)[q]) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to H^0\left(F/\mathbb{Q}, H^1(F, E[q])\right) \to H^2(F/\mathbb{Q}, E(F)[q])$$

which is induced by the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. □

For a torsion module M and an element $b \in M$, we denote by $\mathrm{ord}(b, M)$ the order of b.

LEMMA 3.9. *Let q be a power of p and L a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that G_L acts trivially on $E[q]$. Then for $\kappa, \eta \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$, there exists an element γ of G_L such that*

(1) $\mathrm{ord}\left(\kappa(\gamma\tau), E[q]/(\tau-1)E[q]\right) \geq \mathrm{ord}(\kappa, H^1(L, E[q]))$,
(2) $\mathrm{ord}\left(\eta(\gamma\tau), E[q]/(\tau-1)E[q]\right) \geq \mathrm{ord}(\eta, H^1(L, E[q]))$,

where τ is as in (3.3), and we regard κ, η as elements of $H^1(L, E[q]))$ by the restriction map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to H^1(L, E[q])$.

REMARK 3.10. For $\gamma \in G_L$ and $\kappa \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$, the image of $\kappa(\gamma\tau)$ in $E[q]/(\tau-1)E[q]$ is independent of the choice of a cocycle representing κ.

PROOF. This is [25, Lemma 5.2.1]. □

3.3. **Euler systems.** For a prime ℓ, we define $P_\ell(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ by

$$P_\ell(t) = 1 - a_\ell t + \epsilon(\ell)t^2, \tag{3.4}$$

where a_ℓ and ϵ are as in Section 2. Let Σ be a finite set of primes which contains all the primes dividing pN. We put

$$\mathscr{R} = \{\text{primes } \ell;\ \ell \notin \Sigma\},\quad \mathscr{N} = \{\text{square-free products of primes in } \mathscr{R}\} \cup \{1\}.$$

DEFINITION 3.11. We call $\{z_{Sp^n}\}_{S\in\mathscr{N},n\geq 0} \in \prod_{S,n} H^1(\mathbb{Q}(Sp^n),T)$ an *Euler system* (for T and $\mathscr{N}$) if $\{z_{Sp^n}\}$ satisfies the following conditions.

(1) For $S \in \mathscr{N}$, a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{R}$ not dividing S, and $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Cor}_{S\ell/S} z_{S\ell p^n} = P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) z_{Sp^n},$$

where $\mathrm{Cor}_{S\ell p^n/Sp^n} : H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell p^n),T) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}(Sp^n),T)$ denotes the corestriction map, and $\mathrm{Fr}_\ell \in \Gamma_{Sp^n}$ denotes the arithmetic Frobenius at ℓ.

(2) For $S \in \mathscr{N}$, the system $\{z_{Sp^n}\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a norm compatible system, that is,

$$\{z_{Sp^n}\}_{n\geq 0} \in \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{Q}(Sp^n),T),$$

where the limit is taken with respect to the corestriction maps $\mathrm{Cor}_{Sp^{n+1}/Sp^n}$.

REMARK 3.12. Our definition of Euler system slightly differs from the usual definition in [10], [24] and [25]. In the usual definition, instead of the condition (1) in Definition 3.11, every Euler system is required to satisfy

$$\mathrm{Cor}_{S\ell p^n/Sp^n}(z_{S\ell p^n}) = \left(1 - \frac{a_\ell}{\ell}\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1} + \frac{1}{\ell}\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-2}\right) z_{Sp^n}.$$

However, since $P_\ell(t) \equiv \left(1 - \frac{a_\ell}{\ell}t + \frac{1}{\ell}t^2\right) \mod \ell - 1$, by [25, Lemma 9.6.1], the existence of an Euler system in our sense is equivalent to the existence of an Euler system in the usual sense.

For a local field K and a topological module M with a continuous G_K-action, we put

$$H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(K,M) = \ker\left(H^1(K,M) \to H^1(K^{\mathrm{ur}},M)\right).$$

PROPOSITION 3.13. *Let* $\{z_{Sp^n}\}$ *be an Euler system and* $\lambda \nmid p$ *a prime of* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$*. Then, for* $S \in \mathscr{N}$ *and* $n \geq 0$*, the image* $\mathrm{loc}_\lambda(z_{Sp^n})$ *of* z_{Sp^n} *in* $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(Sp^n)_\lambda,T)$ *is unramified, that is,*

$$\mathrm{loc}_\lambda(z_{Sp^n}) \in H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(\mathbb{Q}(Sp^n)_\lambda,T),$$

where $\mathbb{Q}(Sp^n)_\lambda$ *denotes the completion at the prime of* $\mathbb{Q}(Sp^n)$ *below* λ*.*

PROOF. This is [25, Corollary B.3.5]. □

3.4. Local behavior at primes not dividing p.

In this subsection, following [25, Chapter 4], we study local behavior of derivatives of Euler systems at primes *not* dividing p.

Let q be a power of p and $\{z_{Sp^n}\}_{S\in\mathscr{N},n\geq 0}$ an Euler system. For an integer $S \in \mathscr{N}$, an element $x \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S),E[q])$ and a prime λ of $\mathbb{Q}(S)$, we denote by $\mathrm{loc}_\lambda(x)$ the image of x in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S)_\lambda,E[q])$.

3.4.1. *Unramifiedness of derivatives at primes not dividing conductors.* For a prime ℓ, we put $m_\ell = [E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)]$. We assume that

$$p \nmid 6N \prod_{\ell | N} m_\ell.$$

PROPOSITION 3.14. *Let D be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support S and conductor S'. We assume that $Dz_S \bmod q \in H^0(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q)$ and denote by $\kappa \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$ the inverse image of $Dz_S \bmod q \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])$ under the isomorphism* (*cf. Proposition 3.8*) $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \cong H^0(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q]))$. *Then for every prime $\ell \nmid pS'$,*

$$\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa) \in H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]).$$

REMARK 3.15. By taking Galois cohomology with respect to the exact sequence

$$0 \to T \xrightarrow{\times q} T \to E[q] \to 0,$$

we have an inclusion $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])$, by which we regard $Dz_S \bmod q$ as an element of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])$.

PROOF. First, we suppose that $\ell \nmid pS$. Since the extension $\mathbb{Q}(S)/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at ℓ, we have $(\mathbb{Q}(S)_\lambda)^{\mathrm{ur}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}$ for a prime $\lambda | \ell$. Hence, we have $\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa) = \mathrm{loc}_\lambda(Dz_S)$ as elements of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}, E[q])$. Then, by Proposition 3.13, we have $\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa) \in H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$.

We next consider a prime ℓ dividing S/S'. Then we have

$$Dz_S = D' N_\ell z_S = P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) D' z_{S/\ell},$$

where D' is a derivative such that $\mathrm{Supp}(D') = S/\ell$. Since the extension $\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell)/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at ℓ, for a prime λ of $\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell)$ we have $\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa) = \mathrm{loc}_\lambda(D' P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) z_{S/\ell})$ as elements of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}, E[q])$. Then by Proposition 3.13, we complete the proof. □

COROLLARY 3.16. *Under the notation as above, for every prime $\ell \nmid pS'$, we have*

$$\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q,$$

where $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q$ is regarded as a subgroup of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$ by the Kummer map.

PROOF. Our proof is based on that of [6, Theorem 4.9]. By the exact sequence

$$0 \to E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q] \to 0,$$

it suffices to show that the image $\mathrm{loc}_{/f,\ell}(\kappa)$ of κ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q]$ is trivial. Proposition 3.14 shows that $\mathrm{loc}_{/f,\ell}(\kappa) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}))[q]$. Since $p \nmid m_\ell$ (if $\ell \nmid N$, then $m_\ell = 1$), by using [21, Chapter I, Proposition 3.8], we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}))[q] = 0,$$

and hence $\mathrm{loc}_{/f,\ell}(\kappa) = 0$. □

3.4.2. *Local behavior at primes dividing conductors.* We put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{R}_q &= \{\ell \in \mathscr{R} \ ; \ q|\ell-1\}, \quad \mathscr{R}_{E,q} = \{\ell \in \mathscr{R}_q \ ; \ q|P_\ell(1)\},\\ \mathscr{N}_q &= \{\text{square-free products of primes in } \mathscr{R}_q\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We take an integer $S \in \mathscr{N}_p$.

DEFINITION 3.17. For a positive divisor S' of S, let $x_{S'}$ denote an indeterminate. We denote by Y_S the free $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S]$-module generated by $\{x_{S'}\}_{S'|S>0}$, that is, $Y_S = \oplus_{S'|S>0}\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S]x_{S'}$. We denote by Z_S the $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S]$-submodule of Y_S generated by the following elements:

$$\sigma x_{S'} - x_{S'} \text{ for } S'|S \text{ and } \sigma \in \Gamma_{S/S'}, \quad N_\ell x_{S'\ell} - P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})x_{S'} \text{ for primes } \ell \text{ with } \ell S'|S.$$

We define $X_S = Y_S/Z_S$.

If we regard $z_{S'}$ as an element of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)$ for $S'|S$ by the restriction map, then there exists a unique homomorphism of Γ_S-modules

$$g_S : X_S \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)$$

sending $x_{S'}$ to $z_{S'}$ for $S'|S$.

Let q be a power of p, and we put $M_q = \mathrm{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{G_\mathbb{Q}}(E[q])$. We recall that the $G_\mathbb{Q}$-module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{G_\mathbb{Q}}(E[q])$ is defined as the module of continuous maps from $G_\mathbb{Q}$ to $E[q]$, and $G_\mathbb{Q}$ acts on $\mathrm{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{G_\mathbb{Q}}(E[q])$ by $(\sigma f)(g) = f(g\sigma)$ for $\sigma, g \in G_\mathbb{Q}$. Then we have an exact sequence of $G_\mathbb{Q}$-modules

$$0 \to E[q] \to M_q \to M_q/E[q] \to 0,$$

where the map $E[q] \to M_q$ is defined as $y \mapsto (g \mapsto gy)$. For a finite extension L of $\mathbb{Q}$, by taking Galois cohomology, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to E(L)[q] \to M_q^{G_L} \to (M_q/E[q])^{G_L} \xrightarrow{\delta_L} H^1(L, E[q]) \to 0. \tag{3.6}$$

See [25, Proposition B.4.5] for the surjectivity of the connecting map δ_L.

PROPOSITION 3.18. *There exists a Γ_S-homomorphism d_S from X_S to $(M_q/E[q])^{G_{\mathbb{Q}(S)}}$ making the following diagram commutative*:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & (M_q/E[q])^{G_{\mathbb{Q}(S)}} \arrow[d, "\delta_{\mathbb{Q}(S)}"] \\ X_S \arrow[ur, "d_S"] \arrow[r, "g_S"'] & H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q]). \end{tikzcd}$$

PROOF. This is [25, Proposition 4.4.8]. ☐

We take a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$ which splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(S)$. We denote by $\mathscr{D}_\ell \subseteq G_\mathbb{Q}$ a decomposition group of ℓ, and by $\mathscr{I}_\ell \subset \mathscr{D}_\ell$ the inertia group. Then, the natural map $\mathscr{I}_\ell \to \Gamma_\ell$

is surjective. We fix a lift of σ_ℓ to $\mathscr{I}_\ell$, which we also denote by σ_ℓ. We fix a lift $\mathrm{Fr}_\ell \in \mathscr{D}_\ell$ of the arithmetic Frobenius at ℓ. By abuse of notation, we put

$$N_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{n_\ell} \sigma_\ell^i, \quad D_\ell^{(1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_\ell - 1} i\sigma_\ell^i \ \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathscr{I}_\ell],$$

where $n_\ell := |\Gamma_\ell|$. Then we have

$$(\sigma_\ell - 1)D_\ell^{(1)} = n_\ell \sigma_\ell^{n_\ell} - N_\ell \ \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[\mathscr{I}_\ell]. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$ denote the image of the Kummer map $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$. We put

$$H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) = H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])/H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]),$$

which is isomorphic to $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q]$. It is known that $H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) = H^1_{\mathrm{ur}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$, and hence $H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) = H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\mathrm{ur}}, E[q])$. By [25, Lemma 1.4.7 (i)], we have two isomorphisms

$$\alpha_\ell : H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) \cong E[q]^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell = 1}; \ \ c \mapsto c(\sigma_\ell),$$
$$\beta_\ell : H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) \cong E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q]; \ \ c \mapsto c(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell),$$

where each element $c \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$ is regarded as a cocycle. Here, we note that the map α_ℓ depends on the choice of σ_ℓ. Since $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$, we have $P_\ell(1) = 2 - a_\ell \equiv 0 \mod q$, and then $a_\ell \equiv 2 \mod q$. Hence, $P_\ell(t) \equiv (t-1)^2 \bmod q$. Since $P_\ell(t) \equiv \det_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(1 - \mathrm{Fr}_\ell t | T) \mod q$, we have $P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})E[q] = 0$. Therefore, if we put $Q_\ell(t) = t - 1$, then we have a homomorphism

$$Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) : E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q] \to E[q]^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell = 1}.$$

We define a homomorphism

$$\phi_\ell^{fs} : H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) \to H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$$

as the composite

$$H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]) \xrightarrow{\beta_\ell} E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q] \xrightarrow{Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})} E[q]^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell = 1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_\ell^{-1}} H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]).$$

For each Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative D, we fix a lift D to $\mathbb{Z}[G_\mathbb{Q}]$.

THEOREM 3.19. *Let S be an element of $\mathscr{N}_p$ and q a power of p. We take a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$ which splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(S)$. Let λ be the prime of $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ above ℓ corresponding to the decomposition group $\mathscr{D}_\ell$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. For a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative D whose support is S, we have the following.*

(1) $\mathrm{loc}_\lambda(Dz_S \bmod q) \in H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S)_\lambda, E[q]) = H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$.
(2) $DD_\ell^{(1)} z_{S\ell} \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_\ell, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell), T)/q\right)$.
(3) *If $\kappa^{(\ell)} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])$ denotes the inverse image of $DD_\ell^{(1)} z_{S\ell} \bmod q$ under the isomorphism $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q]) \cong H^0\left(\Gamma_\ell, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell), E[q])\right)$ and $\mathrm{loc}_{/f,\lambda}(\kappa^{(\ell)})$ denotes the image of $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ in $H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{loc}_{/f,\lambda}(\kappa^{(\ell)}) = \phi_\ell^{fs}(\mathrm{loc}_\lambda(Dz_S \bmod q)).$$

(4) *In addition, if* $E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q] \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, *then*

$$\mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{loc}_\lambda(\kappa^{(\ell)}), H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q]) = \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{loc}_\lambda(Dz_S), H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])).$$

PROOF. We follow the proof of [25, Theorem 4.5.4]. The assertion (1) follows from Proposition 3.13. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(\sigma_\ell - 1)DD_\ell^{(1)}x_{S\ell} \equiv -\sigma_\ell DN_\ell x_{S\ell} \equiv -\sigma_\ell DP_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})x_S \equiv -\sigma_\ell DP_\ell(1)x_S \equiv 0 \mod qX_{S\ell},$$

where the third congruence follows from $\mathrm{Fr}_\ell = 1$ in Γ_S, and the last congruence follows from $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$. Hence, $DD_\ell^{(1)}x_{S\ell} \bmod q \in (X_{S\ell}/q)^{\Gamma_\ell}$. Since a homomorphism $d_{S\ell}$ as in Proposition 3.18 is $G_\mathbb{Q}$-equivariant, we have $d_{S\ell}(DD_\ell^{(1)}x_{S\ell}) \in H^0(\Gamma_\ell, (M_q/E[q])^{G_{\mathbb{Q}(S\ell)}})$. Since $\delta_{\mathbb{Q}(S\ell)}(d_{S\ell}(x_{S\ell})) = z_{S\ell} \bmod q$, we have

$$DD_\ell^{(1)}z_{S\ell} \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_\ell, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell), T)/q\right).$$

We take lifts $\hat{d}(x_{S\ell}), \hat{d}(x_S) \in M_q$ of $d_{S\ell}(x_{S\ell}), d_{S\ell}(x_S)$, respectively. By the definition of ϕ_ℓ^{fs}, it suffices to show that

$$Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\left((Dz_S \bmod q)(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell)\right) = \kappa^{(\ell)}(\sigma_\ell) \ \in E[q], \tag{3.8}$$

where we regard Dz_S and κ as cocycles. Since $\delta_{\mathbb{Q}(S)}$ is the connecting map from $(M_q/E[q])^{G_{\mathbb{Q}(S)}}$ to $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])$, we have

$$(Dz_S \bmod q)(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell) = (\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)D\hat{d}(x_S), \ \ \kappa^{(\ell)}(\sigma_\ell) = (\sigma_\ell - 1)D_\ell^{(1)}D\hat{d}(x_{S\ell}) \in E[q]. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1} - 1)E[q] = P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})E[q] = 0$, we have

$$Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\left((Dz_S \bmod q)(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell)\right) = Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}\left((Dz_S \bmod q)(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell)\right).$$

Thus, by (3.9), (3.7) and [25, Lemma 4.7.1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})((Dz_S \bmod q)(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell)) - \kappa^{(\ell)}(\sigma_\ell) \\ &= Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}((Dz_S \bmod q)(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell)) - \kappa^{(\ell)}(\sigma_\ell) \\ &= Q_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)D\hat{d}(x_S) - (\sigma_\ell - 1)D_\ell^{(1)}D\hat{d}(x_{S\ell}) \\ &= -P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})D\hat{d}(x_S) + N_\ell D\hat{d}(x_{S\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

By [25, Lemma 4.7.3], this is zero, and then we conclude (3.8).

If $E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q] \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, then [25, Corollary A.2.7] says that ϕ_ℓ^{fs} is an isomorphism, and hence the assertion (4) follows. □

4. DIVISIBILITY OF EULER SYSTEMS FOR ELLIPTIC CURVES

In this section, we show that certain derivatives of Euler systems are divisible by a power of p (Theorem 4.9), and give applications.

We keep the notation as in Section 3. In particular, let $\{z_{Sp^n}\}_{S \in \mathscr{N}, n \geq 0}$ be an Euler system for T and some $\mathscr{N}$ in the sense of Definition 3.11.

4.1. **The theorem on divisibility of Euler systems.**

The aim of this subsection is to prove Theorem 4.9. We also give a modification (Theorem 4.15) of Theorem 4.9, which is used to prove Theorems 4.20 and 6.4.

4.1.1. *Notation.*

ASSUMPTION 4.1. Throughout Section 4, we assume that $p \nmid 6N \prod_{\ell|N} m_\ell$ and that the Galois representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T)$ is surjective.

Let q be a power of p.

DEFINITION 4.2. For a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module M, we define an integer $r_q(M)$ by

$$M \otimes \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus r_q(M)} \oplus M',$$

where the exponent of M' is strictly less than q.

LEMMA 4.3. *For an exact sequence of finite $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$-modules $0 \to M' \to M \to M''$, we have*

$$r_q(M) \leq r_q(M') + r_p(M'').$$

PROOF. This is [6, Lemma 5.1]. □

DEFINITION 4.4. We define the Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$ by

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) = \ker \left(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to \prod_{w:\text{places}} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_w, E[q])}{E(\mathbb{Q}_w)/q} \right),$$

and for a positive integer S, we define a subgroup $H^1_{f,S}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$ of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$ by

$$H^1_{f,S}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) = \ker \left(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to \oplus_{\ell|S} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q \right), \tag{4.1}$$

where ℓ ranges over all the primes dividing S. If there is no fear of confusion, we simply write $H^1_{f,S} = H^1_{f,S}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$.

We put $A_q(S) = \oplus_{\ell|S} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q$.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let S be a positive integer and $\ell \nmid S$ a prime such that $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p$ is cyclic (i.e. the module $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p$ is trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$). Then, we have*

$$r_q(H^1_{f,S\ell}) + r_p(A_q(S\ell)) - 1 \leq r_q(H^1_{f,S}) + r_p(A_q(S)). \tag{4.2}$$

In addition, if $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$, then we have

$$r_q(H^1_{f,S}) + r_p(A_q(S)) \leq r_q(H^1_{f,S\ell}) + r_p(A_q(S\ell)) \tag{4.3}$$

PROOF. Since $H^1_{f,S\ell} \subseteq H^1_{f,S}$ and $r_p(A_q(S\ell)) \leq r_p(A_q(S)) + 1$, we have (4.2). We assume that $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$. By Lemma 4.3 with the exact sequence $0 \to H^1_{f,S\ell} \to H^1_{f,S} \to E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q$, we have

$$r_q(H^1_{f,S}) \leq r_q(H^1_{f,S\ell}) + r_p(E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p) = r_q(H^1_{f,S\ell}) + 1.$$

Since $r_p(A_q(S))+1 = r_p(A_q(S\ell))$, we deduce that

$$r_q(H^1_{f,S}) + r_p(A_q(S)) \leq r_q(H^1_{f,S\ell}) + r_p(A_q(S\ell)).$$

□

DEFINITION 4.6. Let $S \in \mathscr{N}_q$ (see (3.5) for the notation). For a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative D whose support is S, we define the *weight* of D as

$$w(D) = \mathrm{ord}(D) - |\{\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q};\ \ell \text{ divides } S\}|.$$

REMARK 4.7. In Darmon's argument, the notion of weight also played an important role. We modify his weight for our case.

PROPOSITION 4.8. *Let D be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support S. Suppose that $S \in \mathscr{N}_q$. If $w(D) < 0$ and $\max_{\ell|S}\{e_\ell(D)\} < p$ (see Definition 3.2 for $e_\ell(D)$), then we have*

$$Dz_S \equiv 0 \mod qH^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T).$$

PROOF. We note that the assumption $w(D) < 0$ implies that there exist a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$ dividing S and a derivative D' such that

$$D = D'N_\ell \quad \mathrm{Supp}(D') = S/\ell, \quad \mathrm{ord}(D') = \mathrm{ord}(D). \tag{4.4}$$

We prove the proposition by induction on the number of primes dividing S. If $S = \ell$ is a prime, then $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$ and $D = N_\ell$. Since $P_\ell(1) \equiv 0 \bmod q$, we have

$$Dz_\ell = N_\ell z_\ell = P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})z_1 \equiv P_\ell(1)z_1 \equiv 0 \mod q.$$

In general, since $w(D) < 0$, there exist a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$ dividing S and a derivative D' as in (4.4). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(D') &= \mathrm{ord}(D') - |\{\ell' \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q};\ \ell' \text{ divides } S/\ell\}| \\ &= \mathrm{ord}(D) - |\{\ell' \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q};\ \ell' \text{ divides } S\}| + 1 \\ &= w(D) + 1 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We write $S/\ell = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_a$. We show that

$$(\sigma_{\ell_i} - 1)D'z_{S/\ell} \equiv 0 \mod q \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a. \tag{4.5}$$

It suffices to consider the case $i = 1$. We write $D' = D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1)} \cdots D_{\ell_a}^{(k_a)}$. In the case where $k_1 = 0$, we have $D' = N_{\ell_1} D_{\ell_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots D_{\ell_a}^{(k_a)}$. Hence, the claim (4.5) is clear. We may assume that $k_1 \geq 1$. Since the order of σ_{ℓ_1} is divisible by q and $0 < k_1 < p$, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$(\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)D' \equiv -\sigma_{\ell_1} D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1-1)} D_{\ell_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots D_{\ell_a}^{(k_a)} \mod q. \tag{4.6}$$

We have

$$\mathrm{Supp}(D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1-1)} D_{\ell_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots D_{\ell_a}^{(k_a)}) = S/\ell, \quad w(D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1-1)} D_{\ell_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots D_{\ell_a}^{(k_a)}) = w(D') - 1 < 0.$$

Then, the induction hypothesis implies that $D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1-1)} D_{\ell_2}^{(k_2)} \cdots D_{\ell_a}^{(k_a)} z_{S/\ell} \equiv 0 \mod q$, and hence by (4.6), we deduce (4.5).

Since each Γ_{ℓ_i} is generated by σ_{ℓ_i}, the assertion (4.5) implies that

$$D' z_{S/\ell} \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{S/\ell}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell), T)/q\right).$$

Hence, we have

$$D z_S = D' N_\ell z_S = P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) D' z_{S/\ell} \equiv P_\ell(1) D' z_{S/\ell} \equiv 0 \mod q.$$

□

4.1.2. *The proof and an application.*

THEOREM 4.9. *Let q be a power of p and D a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support S satisfying $\max_{\ell|S}\{e_\ell(D)\} < p$. We suppose that $S \in \mathscr{N}_q$ and for every prime $\ell|S$, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic, that is, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] = 0$ or $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. If $\mathrm{ord}(D) < r_q\left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right)$, then*

$$D z_S \equiv 0 \mod q H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T).$$

We prove it by induction on $w(D)$. Before the proof, we prove some lemmas.

LEMMA 4.10. *Let D be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support S. We suppose that $S \in \mathscr{N}_q$ and $D z_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right)$. We denote by κ the inverse image of $D z_S$ under the isomorphism $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \cong H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])\right)$. We put $S' = \mathrm{Cond}(D)$. If $r_q(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])) > 0$, then there exists a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{R}$ such that*

1. *(1) $\ell \equiv 1 \mod q$, ℓ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(S)$, and $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$,*
2. *(2) $\mathrm{ord}\left(D z_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right) = \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa), H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]))$,*
3. *(3) the localization map $H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q$ is surjective.*

PROOF. By the natural inclusion $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])$, we have

$$\mathrm{ord}\left(D z_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right) = \mathrm{ord}\left(\kappa, H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right). \tag{4.7}$$

We put $d = \mathrm{ord}\left(\kappa, H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right)$. Since $r_q(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])) > 0$, there exists an element $\eta \in H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$ of order q. We put $L = \mathbb{Q}(S)(E[q])$ (the composite of $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[q])$). Since $(S, pN) = 1$, we have $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(S)/\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[q])/\mathbb{Q})$. By [3, Proposition 6.3 (2)], we have

$$H^1(L/\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q]) \cong H^1(\mathbb{Q}(E[q])/\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) = 0.$$

Then, the restriction map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q]) \to H^1(L, E[q])$ is injective, and hence by Proposition 3.8, the restriction map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to H^1(L, E[q])$ is injective. Therefore, the image of κ in $H^1(L, E[q])$ is of order d and the image of η is of order q. For $\tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})}$ as in (3.3), by Lemma 3.9, there exists an element γ of G_L such that

$$\mathrm{ord}(\kappa(\gamma\tau), E[q]/(\tau-1)E[q]) = d, \quad \mathrm{ord}(\eta(\gamma\tau), E[q]/(\tau-1)E[q]) = q. \tag{4.8}$$

We note that $H^1(L, E[q]) = \mathrm{Hom}(G_L, E[q])$. We regard κ, η as elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(G_L, E[q])$ and put $H = \ker(\kappa)\cap\ker(\eta) \subset G_L$. Let L' be a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^H$. For $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by $[\sigma]$ the conjugacy class of the image of σ in $\mathrm{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q})$. By Chebotarev's density theorem, there exists a prime $\ell \in \mathscr{R}$ not dividing pNS such that

$$[\mathrm{Fr}_\ell] = [\gamma\tau]. \tag{4.9}$$

It remains to show that this ℓ satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and (3) above.

(1). Since $\gamma\tau = 1$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(S)(\mu_q)/\mathbb{Q})$ (recall that $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_q) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[q])$ by the Weil pairing), we have $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod q$, and ℓ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(S)$. By (4.9), we have $\mathrm{Fr}_\ell = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[q])/\mathbb{Q})$ for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[q]/\mathbb{Q})$. Thus, by $\gamma\tau = \tau$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[q])/\mathbb{Q})$ and (3.3), we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell, E[q]) \cong E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q] = E[q]/\sigma(\tau - 1)E[q] \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z},$$

where the first isomorphism is given by $f \mapsto f(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell) \bmod (\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q]$. Since

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q = H^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell, E[q]) \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q]),$$

we deduce that the condition (1) holds.

(2). By Proposition 3.14, the image $\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa)$ of κ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])$ belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell, E[q])$. By the isomorphism $H^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell, E[q]) \cong E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q]$ and (4.7), it suffices to show that for a lift $\mathrm{Fr}_\ell \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the arithmetic Frobenius at ℓ,

$$\mathrm{ord}(\kappa(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell), E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q]) = d. \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.9), we write $\mathrm{Fr}_\ell = \sigma\gamma\tau\sigma^{-1}g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for some $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $g \in G_{L'}$. Then, for every $\xi \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$ which is unramified at ℓ and satisfies $\xi(g) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell) &= \xi(\sigma\gamma\tau\sigma^{-1}g) \overset{\text{(i)}}{=} \xi(\sigma\gamma\tau\sigma^{-1}) = \sigma\xi(\gamma\tau\sigma^{-1}) + \xi(\sigma)\\
&= \sigma(\gamma\tau\xi(\sigma^{-1}) + \xi(\gamma\tau)) + \xi(\sigma) \overset{\text{(ii)}}{=} \sigma\tau\xi(\sigma^{-1}) + \xi(\sigma) + \sigma\xi(\gamma\tau)\\
&= -\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\xi(\sigma) + \xi(\sigma) + \sigma\xi(\gamma\tau) = -(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)\xi(\sigma) + \sigma\xi(\gamma\tau)\\
&= \sigma\xi(\gamma\tau) \text{ in } E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q],
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality (i) follows from $\xi(g) = 0$, and (ii) follows from $\gamma \in G_L$. Since

$$(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q] = \sigma(\tau - 1)E[q],$$

we have

$$\mathrm{ord}\left(\xi(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell), E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q]\right) = \mathrm{ord}\left(\xi(\gamma\tau), E[q]/(\tau - 1)E[q]\right). \tag{4.11}$$

By (4.8) and (4.11) with $\xi = \kappa$, we conclude (4.10).

(3). By definition, we have $\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\eta) \in H^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell, E[q])$. By (4.8) and (4.11) with $\xi = \eta$, we deduce that $\eta(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell)$ is of order q in $E[q]/(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell - 1)E[q]$, and hence the image of η in $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q$ is of order q. Since $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, we prove the assertion (3). □

LEMMA 4.11. *Under the notation and assumption as in Lemma 4.10, we further assume that* $DD_\ell^{(1)} z_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{S\ell}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell), T)/q\right)$. *Then,*

$$Dz_S \equiv 0 \mod qH^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T).$$

PROOF. We denote by $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ the inverse image of $DD_\ell^{(1)} z_{S\ell} \bmod q$ under the isomorphism $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \cong H^0\left(\Gamma_{S\ell}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell), E[q])\right)$. Theorem 3.19 (4) implies that

$$\operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{loc}_\ell(\kappa^{(\ell)}), H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q]) = \operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{loc}_\ell(\kappa), H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E[q])).$$

By the condition (2) of Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{loc}_\ell(\kappa^{(\ell)}), H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q]) = \operatorname{ord}\left(Dz_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right). \tag{4.12}$$

Hence, we are reduced to showing that the image of $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q]$ is trivial. For a prime w, we denote by $(-,-)_w$ the perfect pairing induced by the cup product

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_w)/q \times H^1(\mathbb{Q}_w, E)[q] \to \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since the natural map $H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q$ is surjective (Lemma 4.10 (3)), by taking the Pontryagin dual we have an injective homomorphism

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q] \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]), \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}\right); \quad a \mapsto (y \mapsto (y, a)_\ell). \tag{4.13}$$

Hence, it suffices to show that the image of $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ is in the kernel of the map above. Since $p \neq 2$, the Hasse principle shows that for $x \in H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$

$$(x, \kappa^{(\ell)})_\ell = -\sum_{w \nmid \ell:\text{prime}} (x, \kappa^{(\ell)})_w. \tag{4.14}$$

If $w \nmid pS'\ell$, then Corollary 3.16 implies that $\operatorname{loc}_w(\kappa^{(\ell)}) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_w)/q$, and hence $(x, \kappa^{(\ell)})_w = 0$. If $w | pS'$, then by the definition of $H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$, we have $(x, \kappa^{(\ell)})_w = 0$. Therefore, by (4.14), we obtain $(x, \kappa^{(\ell)})_\ell = 0$. Since $x \in H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$ is arbitrary, we deduce that the image of $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[q]$ is in the kernel of the injection (4.13) and hence it is trivial. □

LEMMA 4.12. *Let w be an integer and q a power of p. We assume that Theorem 4.9 holds for any Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative whose weight is strictly less than w. Let D be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support S such that* $\max_{\ell|S}\{e_\ell(D)\} < p$ *and* $w(D) = w$. *We suppose that $S \in \mathscr{N}_q$ and for every prime $\ell | S$, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic. If* $\operatorname{ord}(D) \leq r_q\left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right)$, *then*

$$Dz_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right).$$

PROOF. We write $S = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_s$. It suffices to show that

$$Dz_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{\ell_i}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right) \tag{4.15}$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. It suffices to consider the case $i = 1$. If $e_{\ell_1}(D) = 0$, then we have $D = N_{\ell_1} D'$ for some derivative D', and hence we deduce (4.15). We assume that $e_{\ell_1}(D) \geq 1$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)D \equiv -\sigma_{\ell_1} D' \mod q\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_S],$$

where D' is a derivative such that $\mathrm{ord}(D') = \mathrm{ord}(D) - 1$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(D') = S$. Hence, we have

$$w(D') = w(D) - 1,$$

which implies that Theorem 4.9 holds for D', that is, $D' z_S \equiv 0 \bmod qH^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)$. Hence, we obtain

$$(\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1) D z_S \equiv -\sigma_{\ell_1} D' z_S \equiv 0 \mod q,$$

which shows (4.15). □

Proof of Theorem 4.9. We prove the theorem by induction on $w(D)$. Note that the theorem obviously follows from Proposition 4.8 when $w(D) < 0$. Thus, we may assume that $w := w(D) \geq 0$ and that the theorem holds for any derivative whose weight is strictly less than w. Then, by Lemma 4.12, we have $D z_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right)$. We denote by κ the inverse image of $D z_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])\right)$ under the isomorphism $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \cong H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])\right)$.

We claim that

$$r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) > 0.$$

We assume that $r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) = 0$. By Lemma 4.3 and the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \to \oplus_{\ell \mid S'} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q,$$

we have

$$r_q\left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) \leq r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) + r_p(\oplus_{\ell \mid S'} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p),$$

and hence by the assumption,

$$\mathrm{ord}(D) < \sum_{\ell \mid S'} r_p(E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p).$$

For a prime $\ell \nmid pN$, we have

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \cong E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)[p] \cong E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p],$$

where the first (non-canonical) isomorphism is due to the structure theorem for finite abelian groups and to that $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell \oplus E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{\mathrm{tors}}$. For a prime $\ell \mid S$, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is assumed to be cyclic, and then we have $r_p(E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p) \leq 1$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{ord}(D) < \sum_{\ell \mid S'} 1.$$

However, by the definition of $S' = \mathrm{Cond}(D)$, we have $\sum_{\ell \mid S'} 1 \leq \mathrm{ord}(D)$. Then, we have a contradiction and hence $r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) > 0$.

By the claim above, there exists a prime ℓ satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and (3) in Lemma 4.10 for $D z_S$. Since $\mathrm{ord}(D D_\ell^{(1)}) \leq r_q\left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right)$, by Lemma 4.12 we have

$$D D_\ell^{(1)} z_{S\ell} \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{S\ell}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell), T)/q\right).$$

Hence, Lemma 4.11 implies that $D z_S \equiv 0 \mod q$. □

REMARK 4.13. If the image of $\kappa^{(\ell)}$ in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, E[q])$ always belonged to $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/q$ as in [6, Theorem 4.9], our proof would work under the assumption that $\mathrm{ord}(D) < r_q(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]))$.

We put $\mathfrak{r}_{\min} = \min_{n \geq 1} \left\{ r_{p^n} \left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n]) \right) \right\}$.

COROLLARY 4.14. *Let S be an element of $\mathscr{N}$ such that for each prime $\ell | S$, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_S} z_S^{\sigma^{-1}} \otimes \sigma \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T) \otimes I_{\Gamma_S}^{\min\{\mathfrak{r}_{\min}, p\}}.$$

PROOF. We may assume that $\mathfrak{r}_{\min} \geq 1$. To apply Lemma 3.6 for $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)$ and z_S, we take a derivative D such that $\mathrm{Supp}(D) = S$ and $\mathrm{ord}(D) < \min\{\mathfrak{r}_{\min}, p\}$. We denote by S' the conductor of D, and then $D = D' N_{\frac{S}{S'}}$, where the derivative D' satisfies

$$\text{Supp}(D') = \text{Cond}(D') = S', \quad n(D') = n(D), \quad \mathrm{ord}(D') = \mathrm{ord}(D).$$

Therefore,

$$Dz_S = \left(\prod_{\ell | (S/S')} P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) \right) D' z_{S'},$$

where ℓ ranges over all the primes dividing S/S'. By definition, if we put $q = n(D')$, which is a power of p, then $S' \in \mathscr{N}_q$. Since

$$\mathrm{ord}(D') = \mathrm{ord}(D) < \mathfrak{r}_{\min} \leq r_q \left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \right), \quad \max_{\ell | S'} \{ e_\ell(D') \} \leq \mathrm{ord}(D') < p,$$

Theorem 4.9 implies that $D' z_{S'} \equiv 0 \bmod q$, and hence $Dz_S \equiv 0 \bmod q$. Consequently, Lemma 3.6 shows that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_S} z_S^{\sigma^{-1}} \otimes \sigma - N_S z_S \otimes 1 \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T) \otimes I_{\Gamma_S}^{\min\{\mathfrak{r}_{\min}, p\}}. \tag{4.16}$$

Since the Galois representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T)$ is assumed to be surjective, $E(\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] = 0$, and then the natural map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n]) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])$ is injective for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, the inductive limit $H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty]) := \varinjlim_n H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n])$ is *not* finite, since $\mathfrak{r}_{\min} \geq 1$. By [25, Theorem 2.2.3] (our $H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])$ coincides with $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])$ in [25]), we have $z_1 = 0$, and then $N_S z_S = \prod_{\ell | S} P_\ell(1) z_1 = 0$. From this and (4.16), we complete the proof. □

4.1.3. *A modification of the theorem.*

THEOREM 4.15. *Let q be a power of p. Let D be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support S such that $\max_{\ell | S}\{e_\ell(D)\} < p$. We suppose that $S \in \mathscr{N}_q$ and for each prime ℓ dividing S, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[q]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ or 0. We put $S' = \mathrm{Cond}(D)$ and recall that $A_q(S') := \oplus_{\ell | S'} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/q$. If $\mathrm{ord}(D) < r_q \left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \right) + r_p(A_q(S'))$, then we have*

$$Dz_S \equiv 0 \mod qH^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T).$$

REMARK 4.16. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$r_q(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])) \leq r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) + r_p(A_q(S')).$$

In particular, when $q = p$, Theorem 4.15 implies Theorem 4.9.

LEMMA 4.17. *Let w be an integer and q a power of p. We assume that Theorem 4.15 holds for any derivative whose weight is strictly less than w. Let D, S and S' be as in Theorem 4.15. We suppose that $w(D) = w$. If $\mathrm{ord}(D) \leq r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) + r_p(A_q(S'))$, then*

$$Dz_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right).$$

PROOF. We simply write $H^1_{f,*} = H^1_{f,*}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])$. Let $S = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_s$ be the prime factorization. We write $D = D^{(k_1)}_{\ell_1} \cdots D^{(k_s)}_{\ell_s}$, and then for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, one of the following assertions holds:

- (a) $k_i = 0$.
- (b) $k_i \geq 2$.
- (c) $k_i = 1$, $\ell_i \in \mathscr{R}_q \setminus \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$, and hence $E(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i})/q = 0$.
- (d) $k_i = 1$, $\ell_i \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$, and hence $E(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_i})/q \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$.

It suffices to show that for each $1 \leq i \leq s$,

$$Dz_S \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{\ell_i}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right). \tag{4.17}$$

Step 1. For each i satisfying (a), (b) or (c) above, the assertion (4.17) holds.

We only need to consider the case $i = 1$. If $k_1 = 0$, then we have $D \in N_{\ell_1}\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_S]$, and hence $Dz_S \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{\ell_1}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right)$. Hence, we may assume that $k_1 \geq 1$. Then by Lemma 3.1,

$$(\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)D \equiv -\sigma_{\ell_1} D^{(k_1-1)}_{\ell_1} D^{(k_2)}_{\ell_2} \cdots D^{(k_s)}_{\ell_s} \mod q\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_S]. \tag{4.18}$$

We put $D' = D^{(k_1-1)}_{\ell_1} D^{(k_2)}_{\ell_2} \cdots D^{(k_s)}_{\ell_s}$. Then,

$$\mathrm{Supp}(D') = S, \quad \mathrm{ord}(D') = \mathrm{ord}(D) - 1,$$

and hence

$$w(D') = w(D) - 1.$$

Since σ_{ℓ_1} generates Γ_{ℓ_1}, by (4.18), it suffices to show that

$$D'z_S \equiv 0 \mod q.$$

We consider the cases (b) and (c).

Case (b). In this case, we have $\mathrm{Cond}(D') = S'$. We recall that

$$\mathrm{ord}(D') < \mathrm{ord}(D) \leq r_q(H^1_{f,pS'}) + r_p(A_q(S')).$$

Then, Theorem 4.15 holds for D', that is, $D'z_S \equiv 0 \mod q$.

Case (c). In this case, we have $\mathrm{Cond}(D') = S'/\ell_1$. Since $E(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_1})/q = 0$, we have

$$r_q(H^1_{f,pS'/\ell_1}) = r_q(H^1_{f,pS'}), \quad r_p(A_q(S'/\ell_1)) = r_p(A_q(S')).$$

Then, we have

$$\mathrm{ord}(D') < r_q(H^1_{f,pS'/\ell_1}) + r_p(A_q(S'/\ell_1)).$$

Hence, Theorem 4.15 holds for D', that is, $D' z_S \equiv 0 \mod q$.

Step 2. We prove the lemma by induction on the number n of primes satisfying (d). Without loss of generality, we may write $S = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_s$, where $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n$ satisfy (d) and $\ell_{n+1}, \ell_{n+2}, \ldots, \ell_s$ satisfy (a), (b) or (c).

The case $n = 0$. This case follows from Step 1.

The case $n \geq 1$. By Step 1, we are reduced to showing that for $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$Dz_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{\ell_i}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right).$$

It suffices to consider the case $i = 1$. Let $S_1 = S/\ell_1$ and $D_{S_1} = D^{(k_2)}_{\ell_2} \cdots D^{(k_s)}_{\ell_s}$. Then, we have

$$(\sigma_{\ell_1} - 1)Dz_S \equiv -N_{\ell_1} D_{S_1} z_S \equiv -P_{\ell_1}(\mathrm{Fr}^{-1}_{\ell_1}) D_{S_1} z_{S_1} \mod q. \tag{4.19}$$

By Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ord}(D_{S_1}) = \mathrm{ord}(D) - 1 &\leq r_q(H^1_{f,pS'}) + r_p(A(S')) - 1 \\ &\leq r_q(H^1_{f,pS'/\ell_1}) + r_p(A(S'/\ell_1)).\end{aligned}$$

We recall that $\mathrm{Cond}(D_{S_1}) = S'/\ell_1$. Since $\ell_1 \in \mathscr{R}_{E,q}$, we have $w(D_{S_1}) = w(D) = w$. Therefore, we may apply the induction hypothesis on n to D_{S_1}, and then obtain

$$D_{S_1} z_{S_1} \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{S_1}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S_1), T)/q\right).$$

Hence, we have

$$P_{\ell_1}(\mathrm{Fr}^{-1}_{\ell_1}) D_{S_1} z_{S_1} \equiv P_{\ell_1}(1) D_{S_1} z_{S_1} \equiv 0 \mod q,$$

and then by (4.19), we complete Step 2. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.15. As in the proof of Theorem 4.9, Theorem 4.15 is proved by induction on $w(D)$. By Lemma 4.17, $Dz_S \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/q\right)$. Let κ be the inverse image of $Dz_S \bmod q$ under the isomorphism $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[q]) \cong H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[q])\right)$. Since $\mathrm{ord}(D) < r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) + r_p(A_q(S'))$, by the same argument as that in the proof of Theorem 4.9, we have $r_q(H^1_{f,pS'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])) > 0$. Hence, by Lemma 4.10 there exists a prime ℓ the conditions (1), (2) and (3) in Lemma 4.10 for Dz_S. Using (4.3), we have

$$\mathrm{ord}(DD^{(1)}_\ell) \leq r_q\left(H^1_{f,pS'\ell}(\mathbb{Q}, E[q])\right) + r_p(A_q(S'\ell)).$$

Since $\mathrm{Cond}(DD^{(1)}_\ell) = S'\ell$, by Lemma 4.17 we have

$$DD^{(1)}_\ell z_{S\ell} \bmod q \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{S\ell}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S\ell), T)/q\right).$$

Then, Lemma 4.11 implies that $Dz_S \equiv 0 \mod q$. □

4.2. **Local behavior of derivatives of Euler systems at p.** In this subsection, we relate the localization of certain derivatives of Euler systems at p with arithmetic invariants such as the Tate-Shafarevich group (Corollary 4.19).

We put $r_E = \mathrm{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q}))$ and denote by Ш the Tate-Shafarevich group of E over $\mathbb{Q}$. For a positive integer S and $A = T_p(E), V_p(E)$ or $E[p]$, we put

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, A) = \oplus_{\lambda | p} H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S)_\lambda, A),$$

where λ ranges over all the primes of $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ dividing p, and $\mathbb{Q}(S)_\lambda$ denotes the completion at λ. We denote by $H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, A)$ the image of the Kummer map, and define

$$H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, A) = \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, A)}{H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, A)}.$$

For $\eta \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[p])$, we denote by $\mathrm{loc}_p(\eta)$ the image of η in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p])$.

THEOREM 4.18. *We assume that $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let D be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support S such that $\max_{\ell | S}\{e_\ell(D)\} < p$. Suppose that $S \in \mathcal{N}_p$, and for each prime $\ell | S$, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic. We put $S' = \mathrm{Cond}(D)$. If $\mathrm{ord}(D) < r_p\left(H^1_{f,S'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])\right) + r_p(A_p(S'))$, then the following assertions hold.*

1. $Dz_S \bmod p \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/p\right).$
2. *If we denote by $\kappa \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$ the inverse image of $Dz_S \bmod p$ under the isomorphism $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \cong H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[p])\right)$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(\kappa) \in H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, E[p]).$$

PROOF. We write $H^1_{f,*} = H^1_{f,*}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$. Since $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, by the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{f,pS'} \to H^1_{f,S'} \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p, \tag{4.20}$$

we have $r_p\left(H^1_{f,S'}\right) \leq r_p\left(H^1_{f,pS'}\right) + 1$, and then the assertion (1) follows from Lemma 4.17.

We prove the assertion (2). If $r_p\left(H^1_{f,S'}\right) = r_p\left(H^1_{f,pS'}\right)$, then Theorem 4.15 implies that $Dz_S \equiv 0 \bmod pH^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)$, and hence $\kappa = 0$. We assume that $r_p\left(H^1_{f,S'}\right) = r_p\left(H^1_{f,pS'}\right) + 1$. Then, by (4.20), the map $H^1_{f,S'} \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p$ is surjective. Since the pairing

$$(-,-)_p : E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \times H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_p, E[p]) \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

is perfect, it suffices to show that

$$(c, \kappa)_p = 0 \quad \text{for } c \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p.$$

We take an element $c \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p$. Since $H^1_{f,S'} \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p$ is surjective, there exists an element $\eta \in H^1_{f,S'}$ whose localization at p coincides with c. Then, by the Hasse principle,

$$(c, \kappa)_p = (\eta, \kappa)_p = -\sum_{w \nmid p:\mathrm{prime}} (\eta, \kappa)_w.$$

By the definition of $H^1_{f,S'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$ and Corollary 3.16 for κ, we have $(\eta, \kappa)_w = 0$ for $w \nmid p$, and hence $(c, \kappa)_p = 0$. □

The following plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 6.4.

COROLLARY 4.19. *We assume that* $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ *and* $p \geq r_E$*. Let* D *be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support* S*. We suppose that* $S \in \mathscr{N}_p$ *and* $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ *is cyclic for each prime* $\ell | S$*. If* $\mathrm{ord}(D) = r_E$ *and* $\mathrm{loc}_p(Dz_S \bmod p) \notin H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p])$*, then we have*

1. $Ш[p] = 0$,
2. *the natural map* $E(\mathbb{Q})/p \to \oplus_{\ell | S} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p$ *is surjective.*

PROOF. We put $S' = \mathrm{Cond}(D)$. Since $\mathrm{loc}_p(Dz_S) \notin H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p])$, Theorem 4.18 implies that

$$r_E \geq r_p(H^1_{f,S'}) + r_p(A(S')).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3,

$$r_p(H^1_{f,S'}) + r_p(A(S')) \geq r_p(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])),$$

and then we have

$$r_E = r_p(H^1_{f,S'}) + r_p(A(S')) = r_p(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])). \tag{4.21}$$

This implies that $Ш[p] = 0$, and the sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{f,S'} \to E(\mathbb{Q})/p \to \oplus_{\ell | S'} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \to 0$$

is exact. Then, it remains to show that for each prime ℓ dividing S/S', $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p = 0$. We assume that $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for some ℓ dividing S/S'. Since $\ell \nmid S'$, we have $D = N_\ell D'$, where D' is a derivative such that $\mathrm{Supp}(D') = S/\ell$ and $\mathrm{ord}(D') = r_E$. We claim that

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(D' z_{S/\ell} \bmod p) \in H^0(\Gamma_{S/\ell}, H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p])). \tag{4.22}$$

In order to prove this, we take a prime ℓ' dividing S/ℓ. If $e_{\ell'}(D') = 0$, then $D' \in N_{\ell'}\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{S/\ell}]$, and hence we have $\mathrm{loc}_p(D' z_{S/\ell} \bmod p) \in H^0(\Gamma_{\ell'}, H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p]))$. We assume that $e_{\ell'}(D') \geq 1$. Then, we have $(\sigma_{\ell'} - 1)D' \equiv -\sigma_{\ell'} D'' \bmod p$, where D'' satisfies $\mathrm{ord}(D'') = r_E - 1$. If we put $S'' = \mathrm{Cond}(D'')$, then by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$r_E - 1 < r_p(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])) \leq r_p(H^1_{f,S''}) + r_p(A_p(S'')).$$

Hence, Theorem 4.18 implies that $\mathrm{loc}_p(D'' z_{S/\ell} \bmod p) \in H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p])$, and then

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(D' z_{S/\ell} \bmod p) \in H^0(\Gamma_{\ell'}, H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p])).$$

Therefore, we deduce (4.22).

By (4.22), in $H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, E[p]))$, we have

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(Dz_S) = \mathrm{loc}_p(N_\ell D' z_S) = P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) \mathrm{loc}_p(D' z_{S/\ell}) = P_\ell(1) \mathrm{loc}_p(D' z_{S/\ell}) = 0.$$

Then, we have a contradiction. □

4.3. **Rational points from derivatives of Euler systems.** In this subsection, we show that if a certain derivative of an Euler system is not divisible by p, then it comes from a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational point of E. We assume that $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and the natural map $E(\mathbb{Q})/p \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p$ is surjective. In particular, the localization map $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p$ is surjective, and hence

$$r_p\left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])\right) = r_p\left(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])\right) - 1.$$

We put $C_p = \ker\left(E(\mathbb{Q})/p \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p\right)$. Then, we have

$$r_p(C_p) = r_E - 1. \tag{4.23}$$

By applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & E(\mathbb{Q})/p \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Ш[p] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \arrow[r] & E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to C_p \to H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \to Ш[p] \to 0. \tag{4.24}$$

THEOREM 4.20. *We assume that* $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ *and the map* $E(\mathbb{Q})/p \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p$ *is surjective. Let* D *be a Darmon-Kolyvagin derivative with support* S *and* $\max_{\ell | S}\{e_\ell(D)\} < p$*. We suppose that* $S \in \mathcal{N}_p$*, and for each prime* $\ell | S$*,* $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ *is cyclic. If* $\mathrm{ord}(D) = r_E - 1$ *and* $Dz_S \not\equiv 0 \bmod pH^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)$*, then the following assertions hold.*

1. $Ш[p] = 0$.
2. *The localization map* $H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \to \oplus_{\ell | S} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p$ *is surjective.*
3. $Dz_S \bmod p \in H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)/p\right)$.
4. *If* $\kappa \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$ *denotes the inverse image of* $Dz_S \bmod p$ *under the isomorphism* $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \cong H^0\left(\Gamma_S, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), E[p])\right)$*, then we have*

$$\kappa \in E(\mathbb{Q})/p.$$

PROOF. (1). Since $Dz_S \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, Theorem 4.9 implies that $r_E - 1 \geq r_p(H^1_{f,p})$. Hence, by (4.23) and (4.24), we have $r_p(H^1_{f,p}) = r_E - 1$ and $Ш[p] = 0$.

(2). Since $\mathrm{ord}(D) = r_p(H^1_{f,p})$ and $Dz_S \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$, Theorem 4.15 implies that

$$r_p(H^1_{f,p}) \geq r_p(H^1_{f,pS'}) + r_p(A_p(S')).$$

Hence, the sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{f,pS'} \to H^1_{f,p} \to \oplus_{\ell | S'} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \to 0 \tag{4.25}$$

is exact. In order to deduce the assertion (2), it suffices to show that for each prime ℓ dividing S/S', we have $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p = 0$. We assume that $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime ℓ dividing S/S'. Since $\ell \nmid S'$, we have $D = N_\ell D'$, where D' is a derivative such that

$$\mathrm{Supp}(D') = S/\ell, \quad \mathrm{Cond}(D') = \mathrm{Cond}(D), \quad \mathrm{ord}(D') = \mathrm{ord}(D) = r_E - 1.$$

Lemma 4.12 implies that $D'z_{S/\ell} \in H^0\left(\Gamma_{S/\ell}, H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S/\ell), T)/p\right)$, and hence, we have

$$Dz_S = N_\ell D'z_S = P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})D'z_{S/\ell} \equiv P_\ell(1)D'z_{S/\ell} \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

Hence, we obtain a contradiction.

(3). The assertion (3) follows from Lemma 4.12.

(4). We first show that $\kappa \in \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$. By Corollary 3.16, we are reduced to showing that for each prime $\ell | pS'$, we have $\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p$. By taking the Pontryagin dual of the sequence (4.25), the map

$$\varphi : \bigoplus_{\ell | S'} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[p] \to H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])^\vee;\ (g_\ell)_\ell \mapsto \left(\eta \mapsto \sum_{\ell | S'} (g_\ell, \eta)_\ell\right)$$

is injective. We claim that the image of κ in $\oplus_{\ell | S'} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, E)[p]$ belongs to the kernel of the map above. Indeed, if we take an element $\eta \in H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$, then by the Hasse principle,

$$\sum_{\ell | S'} (\kappa, \eta)_\ell = -\sum_{v \nmid S'} (\kappa, \eta)_v = -(\kappa, \eta)_p = 0,$$

where the second equality follows from Corollary 3.16, and the last equality follows from the definition of $H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$. Since the map φ is injective, $\mathrm{loc}_\ell(\kappa) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p$ for all $\ell | S'$. In addition, by Theorem 4.18, we have $\mathrm{loc}_p(\kappa) \in E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p$. Then, we deduce that $\kappa \in \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])$. Hence by the assertion (1), we have $\kappa \in E(\mathbb{Q})/p$. ☐

REMARK 4.21. (1) For Heegner points, a similar result was obtained in [6, Proposition 5.10], where K-rational points are considered for imaginary quadratic fields K.

(2) Zhang [32] recently proved a conjecture of Kolyvagin, which asserts indivisibility of Kolyvagin derivatives of Heegner points.

5. LOCAL STUDY OF MAZUR-TATE ELEMENTS

The aim of this section is to show that if we extend coefficients to $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then the order of vanishing of Mazur-Tate elements is greater than or equal to the corank of the Selmer group (see Theorem 5.17 for the precise statement). We fix a global minimal Weierstrass model of E over $\mathbb{Z}$, and denote by ω the Néron differential. Then, let $\Omega^\pm$ be the period as in Section 2.

5.1. **Preliminaries on group rings.**

5.1.1. *Local property.* Let p be a prime. For a finite abelian group G, we denote by I_G the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$.

LEMMA 5.1. *For an element $\sigma \in G$ whose order is relatively prime to p, we have $\sigma - 1 \in I_G^t$ for all $t \geq 1$. In particular, if $p \nmid |G|$, then $I_G = I_G^2 = I_G^3 = \cdots$.*

PROOF. This is [6, Lemma 3.4]. ☐

LEMMA 5.2. *Suppose that we are given a decomposition* $G = K \times H$ *with* $p \nmid |H|$. *Let* α *be an element of* $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$. *Let* α_K *denote the image of* α *under the map* $\mathbb{Z}_p[G] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[K]$ *induced by the projection* $G \to K$. *If* $\alpha_K \in I_K^t$ *for some* $t \geq 1$, *then* $\alpha \in I_G^t$.

PROOF. By the natural inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_p[K] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$, we regard α_K as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$. Then, we have

$$\alpha - \alpha_K \in \ker(\mathbb{Z}_p[G] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[K]) = \mathbb{Z}_p[K] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} I_H.$$

Lemma 5.1 implies that $\mathbb{Z}_p[K] \otimes I_H = \mathbb{Z}_p[K] \otimes I_H^t$. Since $\alpha_K \in I_K^t$, we have $\alpha \in I_G^t$. ☐

LEMMA 5.3. *Under the notation as in Lemma 5.2, we suppose that* $\alpha \in I_G^t$. *We denote by* $\tilde{\alpha}^{(p)}$ (*resp.* $\tilde{\alpha}_K^{(p)}$) *the image of* α (*resp.* α_K) *in* $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes I_G^t/I_G^{t+1}$ (*resp.* $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes I_K^t/I_K^{t+1}$). *If* $\tilde{\alpha}_K^{(p)} = 0$, *then* $\tilde{\alpha}^{(p)} = 0$.

PROOF. As in the poof of Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\alpha - \alpha_K \in \mathbb{Z}_p[K] \otimes I_H^t = \mathbb{Z}_p[K] \otimes I_H^{t+1} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_G^{t+1}.$$

Then, we have $\tilde{\alpha}^{(p)} - \tilde{\alpha}_K^{(p)} = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes I_G^t/I_G^{t+1}$, where we regard $\tilde{\alpha}_K^{(p)}$ as an element of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes I_G^t/I_G^{t+1}$ under the map induced by the inclusion $K \subseteq G$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}_K^{(p)} = 0$, we have $\tilde{\alpha}^{(p)} = 0$. ☐

5.1.2. *Global property.* Let R be a proper subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ and I_G the augmentation ideal of $R[G]$.

LEMMA 5.4. *Let* α *be an element of* $R[G]$. *For a positive integer* t, *the following conditions are equivalent*:

(1) $\alpha \in I_G^t$.
(2) $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_R I_G^t$ *for all the primes* p *not invertible in* R.

PROOF. This is [6, Lemma 3.2]. ☐

5.2. **Construction of a system of local points.** With a modification of ideas of [13], [14] and [23], we construct local points of E to connect Kato's Euler system with Mazur-Tate elements.

In the rest of Section 5, we fix a prime p such that

(1) $p \nmid 6N \cdot |E(\mathbb{F}_p)| \prod_{\ell | N} m_\ell$,
(2) the Galois representation $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T_p(E))$ is surjective,

For an integer S, let $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ and Γ_S be as in Section 3. Let $\mathscr{O}_S$ denote the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(S)$. If we put $H_S = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)/\mathbb{Q}(S))$, then we have the canonical decomposition $G_S = H_S \times \Gamma_S$. For a finite unramified extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and its ring $\mathscr{O}$ of integers, let σ denote the arithmetic Frobenius. We denote by $\hat{E}$ the formal group law of E over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (associated to ω) and by $\log_{\hat{E}}$ the logarithm of $\hat{E}$, which induces an isomorphism $\hat{E}(\mathscr{O}) \to p\mathscr{O}$.

LEMMA 5.5. *We suppose that* K *is a finite unramified* p*-extension of* $\mathbb{Q}_p$. *Then, we have an isomorphism defined as*

$$\hat{E}(\mathscr{O}) \to \mathscr{O};\ c \mapsto \left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right) \log_{\hat{E}}(c).$$

PROOF. Since $\log_{\hat{E}} : \hat{E}(\mathscr{O}) \to p\mathscr{O}$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that the map $\left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right) : p\mathscr{O} \to \mathscr{O}$ is an isomorphism. We put $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

We first assume that p is a good ordinary prime of E, that is, $p \nmid a_p$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the unit root of $X^2 - a_pX + p$ and $\beta \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ the other root. Then we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\alpha}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Since $p \nmid |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$, we have $a_p \not\equiv 1 \bmod p$, and hence $\alpha \not\equiv 1 \bmod p$. We note that $\alpha^d - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, since d is a power of p. For $A \in \mathscr{O}$, if we put

$$x_A = \frac{\alpha^d}{\alpha^d - 1}\left(\sum_{0 \le k \le d-1} \frac{A^{\sigma^k}}{\alpha^k}\right) \in \mathscr{O},$$

then

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\alpha}\right) x_A = A.$$

Since $\beta \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we see that $y_A := -\sum_{k\ge1} \beta^k x_A^{\sigma^{-k}} \in p\mathscr{O}$ converges, and it satisfies

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\beta}\right) y_A = x_A.$$

By (5.1), we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right) y_A = A.$$

Hence, the map $\left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right) : p\mathscr{O} \to \mathscr{O}$ is surjective, and then it is an isomorphism.

We next assume that p is a good supersingular prime of E. Since $p \ge 5$, we have $a_p = 0$. For $A \in \mathscr{O}$, if we put $y_A = -\sum_{k\ge1}(-p)^k A^{\sigma^{-2k}}$, then

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right) y_A = A.$$

Hence, the map $\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right) : p\mathscr{O} \to \mathscr{O}$ is surjective, and then it is an isomorphism. □

For an integer S, we have $\mathscr{O}_S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p = \prod_{\lambda | p} \mathscr{O}_{S,\lambda}$, where λ ranges over all the primes of $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ dividing p, and $\mathscr{O}_{S,\lambda}$ denotes the completion of $\mathscr{O}_S$ at λ. Then, the logarithm $\log_{\hat{E}}$ naturally induces an isomorphism $\hat{E}(\mathscr{O}_S \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \to p\mathscr{O}_S \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\hat{E}(\mathscr{O}_S \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) = \oplus_{\lambda|p}\hat{E}(\mathscr{O}_{S,\lambda})$.

DEFINITION 5.6. For a square-free integer S relatively prime to p, we define an element $c_S \in \hat{E}\left(\mathscr{O}_S \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p\right)$ by

$$\left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right) \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)/\mathbb{Q}(S)}\left(\zeta_S\right) \in \mathscr{O}_S \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{5.2}$$

By Lemma 5.5, the element c_S is well-defined.

PROPOSITION 5.7. *Let ℓ be a prime not dividing pS. Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{S\ell/S}(c_{S\ell}) = -c_S^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}},$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}_{S\ell/S} : \hat{E}(\mathscr{O}_{S\ell} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \to \hat{E}(\mathscr{O}_S \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ *is the trace map with respect to the addition of* $\hat{E}$.

PROOF. By Lemma 5.5, it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(S\ell)/\mathbb{Q}(S)} \circ \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{S\ell})/\mathbb{Q}(S\ell)}\left(\zeta_{S\ell}\right) = -\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)/\mathbb{Q}(S)}\left(\zeta_S^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}}\right).$$

Since $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(S\ell)/\mathbb{Q}(S)} \circ \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{S\ell})/\mathbb{Q}(S\ell)} = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)/\mathbb{Q}(S)} \circ \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{S\ell})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)}$, we are reduced to showing that $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{S\ell})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)}(\zeta_{S\ell}) = -\zeta_S^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}}$, which is not difficult to show. □

PROPOSITION 5.8. *Let χ be a character of Γ_S. Then, we have*

$$\left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\chi(p)^{-1} + \frac{1}{p}\chi(p)^{-2}\right) \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_S} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\chi(\delta) = \tau_S(\chi).$$

On the right hand side, we regard χ as a character of $G_S = \Gamma_S \times H_S$ by $\chi|_{H_S} = 1$.

PROOF. By (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_S} \delta\left(\left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right)\log_{\hat{E}}(c_S)\right)\chi(\delta) &= \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_S} \delta\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_S)/\mathbb{Q}(S)}(\zeta_S)\right)\chi(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in G_S} \zeta_S^\gamma \chi(\gamma) = \tau_S(\chi). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_S} \delta\left(\left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\sigma + \frac{1}{p}\sigma^2\right)\log_{\hat{E}}(c_S)\right)\chi(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\chi(\delta) - \frac{a_p}{p}\sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^{\sigma\delta})\chi(\delta) + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^{\sigma^2\delta})\chi(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\chi(\delta) - \frac{a_p}{p}\sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^{\delta})\chi(\sigma^{-1}\delta) + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^{\delta})\chi(\sigma^{-2}\delta) \\ &\overset{\text{(a)}}{=} \sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\chi(\delta) - \frac{a_p}{p}\chi(p)^{-1}\sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^{\delta})\chi(\delta) + \frac{1}{p}\chi(p)^{-2}\sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^{\delta})\chi(\delta) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{a_p}{p}\chi(p)^{-1} + \frac{1}{p}\chi(p)^{-2}\right)\sum_{\delta} \log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\chi(\delta), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where the equality (a) follows from $\chi(\sigma) = \chi(p)$. Combining (5.3) and (5.4), we complete the proof. □

5.3. **Kato's Euler system.** We put $T = T_p(E)$ and $V = T \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. For an integer S, we have the pairing $(-,-)$ induced by the cup product

$$(-,-) : H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \times H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \to \oplus_{\lambda|S}\mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

where the last map is given by $(a_\lambda)_\lambda \mapsto \sum_\lambda a_\lambda$. Then, we have a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V), \mathbb{Q}_p\right). \tag{5.5}$$

The exponential map $\exp_{\hat{E}}$ of $\hat{E}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_p \to H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$. By taking the dual, we have a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V), \mathbb{Q}_p\right) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, \tag{5.6}$$

where the last isomorphism comes from the perfect pairing $(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)\times(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ given by $(x,y)\mapsto \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(S)/\mathbb{Q}}(xy)$. The dual exponential map $\exp^*_S$ (associated to ω) is defined as the composite of (5.5) and (5.6)

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\left(H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, V), \mathbb{Q}_p\right) \to \mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p.$$

We note that for $c \in \hat{E}(\mathscr{O}_S\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $z \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, T)$,

$$(c, z) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(S)/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\log_{\hat{E}}(c)\cdot\exp^*_S(z)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{5.7}$$

Let $\mathscr{N}$ be the set of square-free products of primes relatively prime to pN. By applying [25, Lemma 9.6.1] to Kato's Euler system (cf. [11, Theorems 9.7 and 12.5]), we have the following.

THEOREM 5.9 (Kato). *There exists a system* $\{\mathfrak{z}_m\}_{m>0} \in \prod_m H^1(\mathbb{Q}(m), T)$ *satisfying the following conditions.*

(1) *For* $m > 0$ *and a prime* ℓ, *we have*

$$\mathrm{Cor}_{m\ell/m}(\mathfrak{z}_{m\ell}) = \begin{cases} P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\mathfrak{z}_m & \text{if } \ell \nmid pm \\ \mathfrak{z}_m & \text{if } \ell | pm. \end{cases}$$

In particular $\{\mathfrak{z}_{Sp^n}\}_{S\in\mathscr{N}, n\geq 0}$ *is an Euler system in the sense of Definition 3.11.*

(2) *For every character* χ *of* Γ_m *of conductor* m, *we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_m}\chi(\gamma)\exp^*_m(\mathfrak{z}_m^\gamma) = \left(1 - \frac{a_p\chi(p)}{p} + \frac{\chi^2(p)}{p}\right)\frac{L(E,\chi,1)}{\Omega^+}.$$

For a square-free integer S relatively prime to p, we put

$$\Theta_S = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}\mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}}\otimes\gamma \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T)\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S].$$

Notation. In the rest of Section 5, for a finite abelian group G, we denote by I_G the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$. For an integer S, we denote by $\mathrm{sp}(S)$ the number of split multiplicative primes dividing S, and we also denote by $b_2(S)$ the number of good primes ℓ dividing S such that $a_\ell = 2$ (i.e. $P_\ell(1) = 0$).

PROPOSITION 5.10. *For a square-free integer S relatively prime to p, we have*

$$\Theta_S \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(S), T) \otimes I_{\Gamma_S}^{\mathrm{sp}(S)+b_2(S)}.$$

PROOF. Our proof is similar to that of [7, Theorem 4.2]. We denote by S_1 the product of primes ℓ dividing S such that ℓ is either a split multiplicative prime or a good prime with $a_\ell = 2$. We prove the proposition by induction on the number a of primes dividing S_1. The case where $a = 0$ is trivial. We assume that $a \geq 1$ and write $S_1 = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_a, S' = S/S_1$. By using $\Gamma_S = \Gamma_{\ell_1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{\ell_a} \times \Gamma_{S'}$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma_S$ we write $\gamma = \gamma_{\ell_1} \cdots \gamma_{\ell_a}\gamma'$, where each γ_{ℓ_i} is an element of Γ_{ℓ_i}, and $\gamma' \in \Gamma_{S'}$. Let $\mu(\cdot)$ denote the Mobius function. Then,

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S} \mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}} \otimes (\gamma_{\ell_1}-1)\cdots(\gamma_{\ell_a}-1)\gamma' = \Theta_S + \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}\sum_{d|S_1, d\neq S_1} \mu(S_1/d)\gamma^{-1}\mathfrak{z}_S \otimes \left(\gamma' \prod_{\ell_i|d} \gamma_{\ell_i}\right)$$

$$=\Theta_S + \sum_{d|S_1,d\neq S_1} \mu(S_1/d) \sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_{dS'}} \gamma_0^{-1}\mathrm{Cor}_{S/dS'}\mathfrak{z}_S \otimes \gamma_0$$

$$=\Theta_S + \sum_{d|S_1,d\neq S_1} \mu(S_1/d) \sum_{\gamma_0\in\Gamma_{dS'}} \gamma_0^{-1} \prod_{\ell|\frac{S_1}{d}} P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\mathfrak{z}_{dS'} \otimes \gamma_0$$

$$=\Theta_S + \sum_{d|S_1,d\neq S_1} \mu(S_1/d) \left(\prod_{\ell|\frac{S_1}{d}} P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\right) \Theta_{dS'}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\Theta_S = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S} \mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}} \otimes (\gamma_1 - 1)\cdots(\gamma_a - 1)\gamma' - \sum_{d|S_1,d\neq S_1} \mu(S_1/d) \left(\prod_{\ell|\frac{S_1}{d}} P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\right) \Theta_{dS'}. \tag{5.8}$$

By assumption, for each prime ℓ dividing S_1/d, we have $P_\ell(1) = 0$, and then $P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) \in I_S$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis and (5.8), we complete the proof. □

5.4. **Kato's Euler system and Mazur-Tate elements.**

DEFINITION 5.11. For a square-free integer S relatively prime to p, we define $\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S)$ by

$$\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S} (c_S, \mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}})\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S].$$

By abuse of notation, we denote by $\pi_{m/n}$ the natural map $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_m] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n]$ for $n|m$.

PROPOSITION 5.12. *For a square-free integer S relatively prime to p, we have the following.*

(1) *Let ℓ be a prime not dividing pS. Then we have*

$$\pi_{S\ell/S}(\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_{S\ell})) = -\mathrm{Fr}_\ell P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S).$$

(2) *For every character χ of Γ_S of conductor S, we have*

$$\chi(\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S)) = \tau_S(\chi)\frac{L(E,\chi^{-1},1)}{\Omega^+}.$$

PROOF. By (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S) &= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}(c_S, \mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}})\gamma = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(S)/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\log_{\hat{E}}(c_S)\exp_S^*(\mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}})\right)\gamma\\ &= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}\sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_S}\log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\exp_S^*(\mathfrak{z}_S^{\delta\gamma^{-1}})\gamma = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}\sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_S}\log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\exp_S^*(\mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}})\delta\gamma\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_S}\log_{\hat{E}}(c_S^\delta)\delta\right)\times\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}\exp_S^*(\mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}})\gamma\right) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)[\Gamma_S]. \tag{5.9}$$

By using Proposition 5.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{S\ell/S}\left(\sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_{S\ell}}\log_{\hat{E}}(c_{S\ell}^\delta)\delta\right) &= \sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_S}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(S\ell)/\mathbb{Q}(S)}\left(\log_{\hat{E}} c_{S\ell}\right)\right)^\delta\delta\\ &= -\sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_S}\log_{\hat{E}}\left(c_S^{\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}\delta}\right)\delta\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\sum_{\delta\in\Gamma_S}\log_{\hat{E}}\left(c_S^\delta\right)(\delta\mathrm{Fr}_\ell). \tag{5.10}$$

By Theorem 5.9, we have

$$\pi_{S\ell/S}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_{S\ell}}\exp_{S\ell}^*(\mathfrak{z}_{S\ell}^{\gamma^{-1}})\gamma\right) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S}\exp_S^*(\mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}})\gamma P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}). \tag{5.11}$$

By (5.9) (replacing S by $S\ell$), (5.10) and (5.11), we deduce the assertion (1). By (5.9), Proposition 5.8 and Theorem 5.9, we conclude (2). □

We denote by $\theta_{S,p}\in\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S]$ the image of the Mazur-Tate element θ_S under the natural projection $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_S]\to\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S]$.

COROLLARY 5.13. *For a square-free positive integer S relatively prime to p, we have*

$$\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S) = \theta_{S,p}\in\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S],$$

PROOF. Combining the proposition above with Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\chi(\theta_{S,p}) = \chi(\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S)) \quad \text{for all the characters } \chi \text{ of } \Gamma_S,$$

which shows that the element $\theta_{S,p}-\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S)\in\mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma_S]$ belongs to all the maximal ideals of $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma_S]$. Hence, we have $\theta_{S,p}=\vartheta(\mathfrak{z}_S)$. □

As in Section 4, we put $\mathfrak{r}_{\min} = \min_{n\geq1}\{r_{p^n}\left(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q},E[p^n])\right)\}$.

COROLLARY 5.14. *Let S be a square-free product of primes ℓ relatively prime to N such that $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic, that is, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ or 0. Then, we have*

$$\theta_S \in I_{G_S}^{\min\{\mathfrak{r}_{\min},\, p\}}.$$

PROOF. We first assume that $(S, p) = 1$. By Lemma 5.2, we are reduced to proving that $\theta_{S,p} \in I_{\Gamma_S}^{\min\{\mathfrak{r}_{\min},\, p\}}$, which follows from Corollaries 4.14 and 5.13.

Next, we assume that $(S, p) \neq 1$. If we put $S' = S/p$, then $p \nmid S'$. By the case above and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\pi_{S/S'}(\theta_S) = -\mathrm{Fr}_p(1 - a_p\mathrm{Fr}_p^{-1} + \mathrm{Fr}_p^{-2})\theta_{S'} \in I_{G_{S'}}^{\min\{\mathfrak{r}_{\min},\, p\}}.$$

Since $p \nmid |G_p|$, Lemma 5.2 implies that $\theta_S \in I_{G_S}^{\min\{\mathfrak{r}_{\min},\, p\}}$. □

We define $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty]) = \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n])$ and put $r_{p^\infty} = \mathrm{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty]))$. Since $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n]) \to \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])[p^n]$ is surjective (cf. [25, Lemma 1.5.4]), we have

$$r_{p^n}(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n])) \geq r_{p^n}\left(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^\infty])[p^n]\right) \geq r_{p^\infty} \tag{5.12}$$

for $n \geq 1$. Since $p \nmid |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$, by the exact sequence $0 \to \hat{E}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to E(\mathbb{F}_p) \to 0$, we have $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$r_{p^n}(H^1_{f,p}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n])) \geq r_{p^n}(\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p^n])) - 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{5.13}$$

Combining (5.12) and (5.13), we have $\mathfrak{r}_{\min} \geq r_{p^\infty} - 1$, and hence by Corollary 5.14 we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 5.15. *Let S be a square-free product of primes ℓ relatively prime to N such that $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic. Then, when $r_{p^\infty} \geq 1$, we have*

$$\theta_S \in I_{G_S}^{\min\{r_{p^\infty}-1, p\}}.$$

5.5. **Application of the p-parity conjecture.** First, following [19, Chapter 1, §6], we recall the functional equation of Mazur-Tate elements. Let w_N be the operator on $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ defined as $g \mapsto \frac{1}{N\tau^2} g\left(\frac{-1}{N\tau}\right)$. Let f be the newform corresponding to E. Then there exists $\varepsilon_f \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $w_N(f) = -\varepsilon_f f$. It is known that

$$\varepsilon_f = (-1)^{\mathrm{ord}_{s=1}(L(E,s))}. \tag{5.14}$$

Let S be a positive integer relatively prime to N. By [20, Chapter 1, §6] and (2.1), for an integer a relatively prime to S, we have

$$[a/S]_E^{\pm} = \varepsilon_f\, [a'/S]_E^{\pm}, \tag{5.15}$$

where a' is an integer satisfying $a'aN \equiv -1 \mod S$. Let ι be the map $\mathbb{Q}[G_S] \to \mathbb{Q}[G_S]$ sending $\sigma \in G_S$ to σ^{-1}. We have a functional equation of Mazur-Tate elements as follows.

PROPOSITION 5.16.

$$\theta_S = \varepsilon_f \delta_{-N}^{-1}\iota(\theta_S).$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon_f\delta_{-N}^{-1}\iota(\theta_S)\\ =&\varepsilon_f\delta_{-N}^{-1}\sum_{a\in(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})^\times}\left(\left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^+ + \left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^-\right)\delta_a^{-1} = \varepsilon_f\sum_{a\in(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})^\times}\left(\left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^+ + \left[\frac{a}{S}\right]_E^-\right)\delta_{(-aN)^{-1}}\\ \overset{\text{(a)}}{=}&\sum_{a\in(\mathbb{Z}/S\mathbb{Z})^\times}\left(\left[\frac{a'}{S}\right]_E^+ + \left[\frac{a'}{S}\right]_E^-\right)\delta_{a'} = \theta_S,\end{aligned}$$

where the equation (a) follows from (5.15). □

For $\gamma \in G_S$, we have

$$\iota(\gamma-1)=\gamma^{-1}-1\equiv-\gamma^{-1}(\gamma-1)\equiv-(\gamma-1)\mod I_{G_S}^2.$$

Then, we have $\iota=-1$ on $I_{G_S}/I_{G_S}^2$, and similarly $\iota=(-1)^t$ on $I_{G_S}^t/I_{G_S}^{t+1}$ for $t\geq 1$.

THEOREM 5.17. *We suppose that p does not divide $6N\cdot|E(\mathbb{F}_p)|\prod_{\ell|N}m_\ell$ and the Galois representation $G_\mathbb{Q}\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T_p(E))$ is surjective. Let S be a square-free product of good primes ℓ such that $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ or 0. Then, we have*

$$\theta_S\in I_{G_S}^{\min\{r_{p^\infty},p\}}\subseteq\mathbb{Z}_p[G_S].$$

In particular, if $p\geq r_E$ then $\theta_S\in I_{G_S}^{r_E}$.

PROOF. By Corollary 5.15, we have $\theta_S\in I_{G_S}^{\min\{r_{p^\infty}-1,p\}}$. If $p\leq r_{p^\infty}-1$ or $r_{p^\infty}=0$, then there is nothing to prove. Hence, we assume that $1\leq r_{p^\infty}\leq p$, and then $\theta_S\in I_{G_S}^{r_{p^\infty}-1}$. We note that the group G_S acts on $I_{G_S}^{r_{p^\infty}-1}/I_{G_S}^{r_{p^\infty}}$ trivially. Then, modulo $I_{G_S}^{r_{p^\infty}}$, we have

$$\theta_S\equiv\varepsilon_f\delta_{-N}^{-1}\iota(\theta_S)\equiv\varepsilon_f\delta_{-N}^{-1}(-1)^{r_{p^\infty}-1}\theta_S\equiv\varepsilon_f(-1)^{r_{p^\infty}-1}\theta_S. \tag{5.16}$$

By the p-parity conjecture (cf. [8, Theorem 1.4]),

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{ord}_{s=1}(L(E,s))}=(-1)^{r_{p^\infty}}.$$

Combining this with (5.14) and (5.16), we have

$$2\theta_S\equiv 0\mod I_{G_S}^{r_{p^\infty}}.$$

Since 2 is assumed to be invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we conclude that $\theta_S\in I_{G_S}^{r_{p^\infty}}$. □

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

6.1. **The order of vanishing.** Let R be a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ in which the primes satisfying at least one of the following conditions are invertible:

(1) p divides $6N\cdot|E(\mathbb{F}_p)|\prod_{\ell|N}m_\ell$,
(2) the Galois representation $G_\mathbb{Q}\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T_p(E))$ is *not* surjective,
(3) $p<r_E$.

For an integer S, we denote by I_S the augmentation ideal of $R[G_S]$.

THEOREM 6.1. *Let S be a square-free product of good primes ℓ such that for each prime p not invertible in R, the module $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is cyclic. Then, we have*

$$\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E}.$$

PROOF. For a prime p not invertible in R, we see that S satisfies the assumption of Theorem 5.17, and then $\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_R I_S^{r_E}$. By Lemma 5.4, we complete the proof. □

REMARK 6.2. For distinct primes p and ℓ, the module $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p]$ is isomorphic to $0, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$. Furthermore, $E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)[p] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ if and only if ℓ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(E[p])$. By Chebotarev's density theorem, if the representation $G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{Aut}(E[p])$ is surjective, then the density of such primes ℓ is equal to $1/|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)| = 1/(p^2-1)(p^2-p)$. Hence, if we denote by δ_R the density (if it exists) of primes ℓ that satisfy the assumption of Theorem 6.1, then

$$\delta_R \geq 1 - \sum_{p \notin R^\times} \frac{1}{(p^2-1)(p^2-p)} \geq 1 - \sum_{p \geq 5} \frac{1}{(p^2-1)(p^2-p)} > 0.99.$$

COROLLARY 6.3. *We assume that $\prod_{\ell'}(\ell'-1) \in R^\times$, where ℓ' ranges over all the split multiplicative primes. Let S be a square-free product of primes ℓ as in Theorem 6.1 and split multiplicative primes. If $b_{2,R}(S)$ denotes the number of primes ℓ dividing S such that $\ell - 1 \in R^\times$ and $a_\ell = 2$, then*

$$\theta_S \in I_S^{r_E + \mathrm{sp}(S) + b_{2,R}(S)}.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 5.4, it suffices to show that for each prime p not invertible in R,

$$\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_S^{r_E + \mathrm{sp}(S) + b_{2,R}(S)}. \tag{6.1}$$

We denote by S' the product of the primes ℓ dividing S such that ℓ is either a split multiplicative prime, or a good prime with $a_\ell = 2$ and $\ell - 1 \in R^\times$. We put $S_0 = S/S'$. By Proposition 2.3 (1), we have

$$\pi_{S/S_0}(\theta_S) = \left(\prod_{\ell | S'} -\mathrm{Fr}_\ell P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) \right) \theta_{S_0}.$$

We note that for each prime $\ell | S'$, we have $P_\ell(1) = 0$, and then $P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1}) \in I_\ell$. Hence, by Theorem 6.1, we have $\pi_{S/S_0}(\theta_S) \in I_{S_0}^{r_E + \mathrm{sp}(S) + b_{2,R}(S)}$. Since each prime divisor ℓ of S' satisfies $\ell - 1 \in R^\times$, by Lemma 5.2 we obtain (6.1). □

6.2. **The leading coefficients.** Let S be as in Theorem 6.1. Let p be a prime not invertible in R such that $p \nmid S$. As in Section 3, we denote by $\mathscr{R}_p$ the set of good primes ℓ such that $\ell \equiv 1 \mod p$. We then write $S = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_s$, where $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathscr{R}_p$, and $\ell_{n+1}, \ldots, \ell_s \notin \mathscr{R}_p$. We put $S_1 = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_n$ and $S_2 = \ell_{n+1} \cdots \ell_s$. We denote by $\tilde{\theta}_S^{(p)}$ the image of θ_S in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes_R I_S^{r_E}/I_S^{r_E+1}$.

THEOREM 6.4. *If $\tilde{\theta}_S^{(p)} \neq 0$, then we have*

$$Ш[p] = 0, \quad p \nmid J_{S_1}, \quad p \nmid \prod_{\ell | S_2} (a_\ell - 2).$$

REMARK 6.5. By the relation between our θ_S and the original Mazur-Tate element in [20], the same theorem for the leading coefficients considered in [20] also holds (cf. Section 2).

PROOF. Let $\theta_{S,p}, \Gamma_S$ and I_{Γ_S} be as in Subsection 5.4. First, we assume that $r_E \geq 1$. We denote by $\tilde{\theta}_{S,p}^{(p)}$ the image of $\theta_{S,p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes I_{\Gamma_S}^{r_E}/I_{\Gamma_S}^{r_E+1}$. Then by Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\tilde{\theta}_{S,p}^{(p)} \neq 0. \tag{6.2}$$

By applying Proposition 3.3 to $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_S} \mathfrak{z}_S^{\gamma^{-1}} \otimes \gamma$ and by using Corollary 5.13, we have

$$\theta_{S,p} = \sum_{\underline{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_s)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus s}} (c_S, D_{\underline{k}}\mathfrak{z}_S)(\sigma_{\ell_1}^{-1}-1)^{k_1}\cdots(\sigma_{\ell_s}^{-1}-1)^{k_s}.$$

By Lemma 5.1,

$$\theta_{S,p} \equiv \sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n\leq r_E \\ k_{n+1}=\cdots=k_s=0}} (c_S, D_{\underline{k}}\mathfrak{z}_S)(\sigma_{\ell_1}^{-1}-1)^{k_1}\cdots(\sigma_{\ell_s}^{-1}-1)^{k_s} \mod I_{\Gamma_S}^{r_E+1}.$$

For $\underline{k}$ such that $k_1+\cdots+k_n < r_E$ and $k_{n+1}=\cdots=k_s=0$, we have $D_{\underline{k}} = N_{S_2}D'$, where $D' = D_{\ell_1}^{(k_1)}\cdots D_{\ell_n}^{(k_n)}$. We put $S' = \mathrm{Cond}(D')$. By applying Lemma 4.3 to the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1_{f,S'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \to \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p]) \to \oplus_{\ell|S'} E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p,$$

we have $r_E \leq r_p(H^1_{f,S'}(\mathbb{Q}, E[p])) + r_p(A(S'))$. By applying Theorem 4.18 to $D'\mathfrak{z}_{S_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{loc}_p(D\mathfrak{z}_S \bmod p) = \mathrm{loc}_p(N_{S_2}D'\mathfrak{z}_S \bmod p) &= \prod_{\ell|S_2} P_\ell(\mathrm{Fr}_\ell^{-1})\mathrm{loc}_p(D'\mathfrak{z}_{S_1} \bmod p) \\ &\in H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, E[p]).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have $(c_S, D\mathfrak{z}_S) \equiv 0 \mod p$, and hence

$$\theta_{S,p} \equiv \sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n = r_E \\ k_{n+1}=\cdots=k_s=0}} (c_S, D_{\underline{k}}\mathfrak{z}_S)(\sigma_{\ell_1}^{-1}-1)^{k_1}\cdots(\sigma_{\ell_s}^{-1}-1)^{k_s} \mod p\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_S] + I_{\Gamma_S}^{r_E+1}.$$

By (6.2), there exists $\underline{k}$ such that $k_1+\cdots+k_n = r_E$, $k_{n+1}=\cdots=k_s=0$ and $(c_S, D_{\underline{k}}\mathfrak{z}_S) \not\equiv 0 \mod p$. For this $\underline{k}$, if we let D' be as above, then $\mathrm{loc}_p(D'\mathfrak{z}_{S_1} \bmod p) \notin H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}(S_1)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p, E[p])$. Since $\mathrm{ord}(D') = r_E$, by applying Corollary 4.19 to $D'\mathfrak{z}_{S_1}$, we have $\text{Ш}[p]=0$ and the map $E(\mathbb{Q})/p \to \oplus_{\ell|S_1}E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p$ is surjective. Since $\oplus_{\ell|S_1}E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p \cong \oplus_{\ell|S_1}E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)/p$ and $p \nmid \prod_{\ell|N} m_\ell$, the map

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) \to \left[\left(\oplus_{\ell|S_1}E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)\right) \oplus \left(\oplus_{\ell|N}E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/E_0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\right)\right] \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

is surjective, that is, $p \nmid J_{S_1}$. Since Γ_{S_1} acts on $I_{S_1}^{r_E}/I_{S_1}^{r_E+1}$ trivially and $\theta_{S_1} \in I_S^{r_E}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{S/S_1}(\theta_S) &\equiv \left(\prod_{\ell|S_2} -P_\ell(1)\right)\theta_{S_1} \\ &\equiv \left(\prod_{\ell|S_2}(a_\ell - 2)\right)\theta_{S_1} \mod I_{S_1}^{r_E+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Since $p \nmid |G_\ell|$ for $\ell | S_2$, by Lemma 5.3, the image of $\pi_{S/S_1}(\theta_S)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes I_{S_1}^{r_E}/I_{S_1}^{r_E+1}$ is not zero. Hence, by (6.3), we have $p \nmid \prod_{\ell | S_2}(a_\ell - 2)$.

We assume that $r_E = 0$. By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\pi_{S/1}(\theta_S) = \left(\prod_{\ell|S}(a_\ell - 2)\right)\theta_1 = \left(\prod_{\ell|S}(a_\ell - 2)\right)\frac{L(E,1)}{\Omega^+} \in R. \tag{6.4}$$

Since $\pi_{S/1}(\theta_S) \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ and $\theta_1 \in R$, we have $\frac{L(E,1)}{\Omega^+} \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$. By the work of Kolyvagin and Kato (cf. [25, Theorem 3.5.11]), we have $Ш[p] = 0$. The equation (6.4) also implies that

$$p \nmid \prod_{\ell|S}(a_\ell - 2). \tag{6.5}$$

Since $r_E = 0$ and $E(\mathbb{Q})[p] = 0$, we have $E(\mathbb{Q})/p = 0$. We note that $|E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)| \equiv 2 - a_\ell$ for $\ell \in \mathscr{R}_p$. Then by (6.5), we have $p \nmid \prod_{\ell|S_1}|E(\mathbb{F}_\ell)|$, and hence $p \nmid J_{S_1}$. ☐

7. TRIVIAL ZEROS

By an elementary argument, we show that Mazur-Tate elements have trivial zeros induced by split multiplicative primes and by good primes for which the Hasse-invariant is equal to 2. In this section, the Mordell-Weil rank is not involved, and we do not require divisibility of derivatives of Euler systems or the p-parity conjecture.

Let R be a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ in which all the primes satisfying at least one of the conditions (i), (ii) in Subsection 1.2 are invertible.

THEOREM 7.1. *For a square-free integer $S > 0$ and $b_2(S)$ as above Proposition 5.10,*

$$\theta_S \in I_S^{\mathrm{sp}(S)+b_2(S)}.$$

REMARK 7.2. In our setting, Theorem 7.1 implies Conjecture 1.1 when $r_E = 0$. Although Bergunde-Gehrman [1] has announced that they proved that $\theta_S \in I_S^{\mathrm{sp}(S)}$ in the general case, zeros coming from good primes ℓ with $a_\ell = 2$ are not considered.

PROOF. We put $a = \mathrm{sp}(S) + b_2(S)$. By Lemma 5.4, it suffices to show that for each prime p not invertible in R,

$$\theta_S \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_S^a.$$

If $p \nmid S$, then by Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 5.13, it suffices to show that $\theta(\mathfrak{z}_S) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes I_{\Gamma_S}^a$, which follows from Proposition 5.10. In the case where $p|S$, we have

$$\pi_{S/\frac{S}{p}}(\theta_S) = -\mathrm{Fr}_p P_p(\mathrm{Fr}_p^{-1})\theta_{S/p}.$$

Since $|G_p| \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, by the case above and Lemma 5.2, we complete the proof. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] F. BERGUNDE AND L. GEHRMAN, On the order of vanishing of stickelberger elements of Hilbert modular forms, preprint, *arXiv*:1506.04638 [math.NT].

[2] M. BERTOLINI AND H. DARMON, Derived heights and generalized Mazur-Tate regulators, *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), 75–111.

[3] A. BESSER, On the finiteness of Sh for motives associated to modular forms, *Doc. Math.* **2** (1997), 31–46 (electronic).

[4] C. BREUIL, B. CONRAD, F. DIAMOND AND R. TAYLOR, On the modularity of elliptic curves over **Q**: wild 3-adic exercises, *J. Amer. Math. Soc*. **14** (2011), 843–939.

[5] A. C. COJOCARU, On the surjectivity of the Galois representations associated to non-CM elliptic curves, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **48** (2005), 16–31.

[6] H. DARMON, A refined conjecture of Mazur-Tate type for Heegner points, *Invent. Math.* **110** (1992), 123–146.

[7] H. DARMON, Thaine's method for circular units and a conjecture of Gross, *Canad. J. Math.* **47** (1995), 302–317.

[8] T. DOKCHITSER AND V. DOKCHITSER, On the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer quotients modulo squares, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **172** (2010), 567–596.

[9] V. G. DRINFELD, Two theorems on modular curves, *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.* **7** (1973), 83–84.

[10] K. KATO, Euler systems, Iwasawa theory, and Selmer groups, *Kodai Math. J.* **22** (1999), 313–372.

[11] K. KATO, p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms, *Cohomologies p-adiques et applications, arithmétiques. III, Astérisque* **295** (2004), ix, 117–290.

[12] B.D. KIM, The parity conjecture for elliptic curves at supersingular reduction primes, *Compos. Math.* **143** (2007), 47–72.

[13] S. KOBAYASHI, Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes, *Invent. Math.* **152** (2003), 1–36.

[14] M. KURIHARA, On the Tate Shafarevich groups over cyclotomic fields of an elliptic curve with supersingular reduction. I, *Invent. Math.* **149** (2002), 195–224.

[15] M. KURIHARA, The structure of Selmer groups for elliptic curves and modular symbols, *Iwasawa theory 2012*, *Contrib. Math. Comput. Sci.* **7**, 317–356, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.

[16] M. LONGO AND S. VIGNI, A refined Beilinson-Bloch conjecture for motives of modular forms, preprint, *arXiv*:1303.4335 [math.NT].

[17] J. I. MANIN, Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **36** (1972), 19–66.

[18] B. MAZUR, Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields, *Invent. Math.* **18** (1972), 183–266.

[19] B. MAZUR AND J. TATE, Refined conjectures of the "Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer type", *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987), 711–750.

[20] B. MAZUR, J. TATE AND J. TEITELBAUM, On p-adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), 1–48.

[21] J. S. MILNE, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Second, BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.

[22] J. NEKOVÁŘ, Selmer complexes, *Astérisque* **310** (2006), viii+559.

[23] R. OTSUKI, Construction of a homomorphism concerning Euler systems for an elliptic curve, *Tokyo J. Math.* **32** (2009), 253–278.

[24] B. PERRIN-RIOU, Systèmes d'Euler p-adiques et théorie d'Iwasawa, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble) **48** (1998), 1231–1307.

[25] K. RUBIN, *Euler systems*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* **147**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.

[26] J.-P. SERRE, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 259–331.

[27] W. A. STEIN ET AL., *Sage Mathematics Software* (Version 5.6), The Sage Development Team, 2013, http://www.sagemath.org.

[28] G. STEVENS, Stickelberger elements and modular parametrizations of elliptic curves, *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 75–106.

[29] K.-S. TAN, Refined theorems of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer type, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble) **45** (1995), 317–374.

[30] R. TAYLOR AND A. WILES, Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **141** (1995), 553–572.

[31] A. WILES, Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **141** (1995), 443–551.

[32] W. ZHANG, Selmer groups and the indivisibility of Heegner points, *Camb. J. Math.* **2** (2014), 191–253.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN
E-mail address: `sb0m07@math.tohoku.ac.jp`